Attachment A

Recommended 2017 and 2018 Residential Allocation Distribution

TABLE 50.5.2-2: ALLOCATION PERFORMANCE TABLE FOR 2015-2032						
Jurisdiction	Minimum Allocation with Deductions	Deduction Increments	Base Allocation			
Douglas County	2	2	10			
El Dorado County	8	5.5	30			
Placer County	11	6.5	37			
City of South Lake Tahoe	10	5.75	33			
Washoe County	3	1.75	10			
Total	34		120			

2015 and 2016 Performance Review System Results:

Douglas County – Base number of residential allocations is 10 per year.

- TMDL Implementation: Douglas County has submitted registrations that are sufficient to meet the 2016 credit targets contained in their Interlocal Agreement (ILA).
- Permit Monitoring and Compliance: Douglas County scored 95.5% on the project review portion of the performance audit and scored 92.2% on the compliance portion.
- <u>Recommendation:</u> Staff recommends that Douglas County receive <u>10</u> residential allocations for 2017 and <u>10</u> residential allocations for 2018.

El Dorado County – Base number of residential allocations is 30 per year.

- TMDL Implementation: El Dorado County is in compliance with Lake Tahoe TMDL and Municipal Storm Water Permit requirements, including Lake Clarity Credit requirements.
- Permit Monitoring and Compliance: El Dorado County scored 98% on the project review portion of the performance audit and scored 91% on the compliance portion.
- Recommendation: Staff recommends that El Dorado County receive <u>30</u> residential allocations for 2017 and <u>30</u> residential allocations for 2018.

Placer County – Base number of residential allocations is 37 per year.

- TMDL Implementation: Placer County is in compliance with Lake Tahoe TMDL and Municipal Storm Water Permit requirements, including Lake Clarity Credit requirements.
- Permit Monitoring and Compliance: Placer County scored 95% on the project review portion of the performance audit and scored 91% on the compliance portion.
- Recommendation: Staff recommends that Placer County receive <u>37</u> residential allocations for 2017 and <u>37</u> residential allocations for 2018.

City of South Lake Tahoe – Base number of residential allocations is 33 per year.

- TMDL Implementation: The City of South Lake Tahoe is in compliance with Lake Tahoe TMDL and Municipal Storm Water Permit requirements, including Lake Clarity Credit requirements.
- Permit Monitoring and Compliance: The City of South Lake Tahoe scored 95% on the project review portion of the performance audit and scored 93% on the compliance portion.
- Recommendation: Staff recommends that the City of South Lake Tahoe receive <u>33</u> residential allocations for 2017 and <u>33</u> residential allocations for 2018.

Washoe County – Base number of residential allocations is 10 per year.

- TMDL Implementation: Washoe County has submitted registrations that are sufficient to meet the 2016 credit targets contained in their Interlocal Agreement (ILA).
- Permit Monitoring and Compliance: Washoe County scored 94.5% on the project review portion of the performance audit and scored 88.5% on the compliance portion.
- <u>Recommendation:</u> Staff recommends that Washoe County receive <u>10</u> residential allocations for 2017 and <u>10</u> residential allocations for 2018.

<u>PRS Results</u>: TRPA staff recommends the number of 2017 residential allocations for each local jurisdiction as follows:

Douglas County	
El Dorado County	30 allocations
Placer County	37 allocations
City of South Lake Tahoe	33 allocations
Washoe County	
Total	120 allocations

TRPA staff recommends the number of 2018 residential allocations for each local jurisdiction as follows:

Douglas County	10 allocations
El Dorado County	30 allocations
Placer County	37 allocations
City of South Lake Tahoe	33 allocations
Washoe County	8 allocations
Total	120 allocations

Additional Allocations Assigned from TRPA's Residential Allocation Incentive Pool:

Jurisdictions have the opportunity to submit project details for allocation assignment pursuant to TRPA Code Subsection 50.5.1.D.4. Staff is preliminarily recommending zero (0) allocations be assigned to jurisdictions pursuant to Section 50.5.1.D.4. as no requests from the jurisdictions to access allocations from the Incentive Pool were received for 2015 or 2016.