

2020 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN/SUSTAINABLE

| | | | |
|--|----------|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Air Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to Air Quality and found impacts to be less than significant. The analysis found that the plan is in conformance with federal and state air quality regulations. Furthermore, the 2020 RTP/SCS implements projects and programs that are designed to reduce air pollutant emissions. Greenhouse gas emissions were also analyzed and impacts were found to be less than significant. The combination of improved vehicle fuel efficiency, which would reduce per vehicle GHG emissions and the travel efficiency offered by the transportation projects included in the RTP would result in an overall reduction in GHG emissions. AQ 14, below, has been removed and will be replaced with Transportation and Sustainable Communities indicator TSCI. If adopted, this change would be reflected in the 2023 Threshold Evaluation. |
| Impact of Project on Water Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to Water Quality and found that majority of impacts would be less than significant as a result of implementation of the Plan. Beneficial water quality impacts would also result due to the upgraded stormwater infrastructure and other site specific improvements. |
| Impact of Project on Soil Conservation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to soils and found that the impacts would be less than significant as a result of implementation of the plan. The RTP does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations pertaining to grading and soil disturbance. Furthermore, implementation of transportation infrastructure projects would improve conditions related to soil retention on project site. |
| Impact of Project on Vegetation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to vegetation and found that the impacts would be less than significant. The RTP/SCS does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations pertaining to native vegetation protection during construction, vegetation removal or groundwater management, new vegetation, unique, rare, or endangered species of plants, stream bank or backshore vegetation, or tree removal. |
| Impact of Project on Fisheries Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including fisheries, and found impacts to be less than significant. Projects contained within the 2020 RTP/SCS would not affect fisheries, and for sites where infrastructure projects include stormwater retention improvements, the water quality would be improved for receiving water bodies that provide fish habitat. |
| Impact of Project on Wildlife Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including wildlife, and found the impacts would be less than significant. The RTP/SCS does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations related to wildlife habitat, monitoring and disturbance during construction. |
| Impact of Project on Recreation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to recreation and found the impacts would be less than significant. Projects in the 2020 RTP/SCS would further result in improved capacity of the recreational system through more frequent transit, traffic management and information technology, as well as pedestrian and bicycle amenities that will enable residents and visitors to more easily access and connect to recreation locations and experiences. |
| Impact of Project on Scenic Resources Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to scenic resources and found the impacts would be less than significant. The RTP/SCS does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations related to design standards and scenic attainment. Furthermore, the 2015 Threshold Evaluation found that scenic resources at a regional scale were shown to improve as a result of development of recreation and bike trails. Construction and operation of new transportation projects would be required to comply with design, shielding, and lighting standards. |

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Noise Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts related to noise and found the impacts to be less than significant, although the IS/IEC estimated minor increases in traffic noise levels. Because implementation of the 2020 RTP/SCS would not result in substantially louder traffic noise levels in 2045 than the baseline levels and 2035 levels presented in the 2012 RTP/SCS EIR/EIS, this would not be a significantly more severe impact. |
|--|---|-----------------|--|

UPDATE TO AIR QUALITY THRESHOLD STANDARD (AQ14) AND ASSOCIATED

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|---|
| Impact of Project on Air Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IEC analyzed potential impacts to Air Quality and found impacts to be less than significant. The analysis found that the proposed changes are consistent with best practice and in conformance with federal and state air quality regulations. Furthermore, the 2020 RTP/SCS implements projects and programs that are designed to reduce air pollutant emissions. Greenhouse gas emissions were also analyzed and impacts were found to be less than significant. The combination of improved vehicle fuel efficiency, which would reduce per vehicle GHG emissions and the travel efficiency offered by the transportation projects included in the RTP would result in an overall reduction in GHG emissions. AQ 14, below, has been removed and will be replaced with Transportation and Sustainable Communities indicator TSCI, which will be reflected in the 2021 Threshold Evaluation. |
|--|---|-----------------|---|

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Water Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to Water Quality and found that the impacts would be less than significant, and would not impact the Regions ability to achieve the Lake Tahoe Total Mximum Daily Load targets. |
|--|---|-----------------|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Soil Conservation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to soils and found that the impacts would be less than significant as a result of implementation of the plan. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework would alter or revise regulations pertaining to grading and soil disturbance. |
|--|---|-----------------|--|

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Vegetation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to vegetation and found that the impacts would be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions to alter or revise regulations pertaining to native vegetation protection during construction, vegetation removal or groundwater management, new vegetation, unique, rare, or endangered species of plants, stream bank or backshore vegetation, or tree removal. |
|---|---|-----------------|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|---|
| Impact of Project on Fisheries Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including fisheries, and found impacts to be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework would affect fisheries or biological resources. |
|--|---|-----------------|---|

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Wildlife Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including wildlife, and found the impacts would be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions that alter or revise regulations related to wildlife habitat, monitoring and disturbance during construction. |
|---|---|-----------------|--|

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Impact of Project on Recreation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to recreation and found the impacts would be less than significant. The updated standard and implementation framework emphasize greater locational efficiency in the placement of new development, that coupled with the projects in the 2020 RTP/SCS would further result in improved modal access to the region's recreational amenities. |
|---|---|-----------------|--|

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|---|
| Impact of Project on Scenic Resources Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to scenic resources and found the impacts would be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions that alter or revise regulations related to design standards and scenic attainment. |
|---|---|-----------------|---|

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|---|
| Impact of Project on Noise Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N) | N | Comments | The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts related to noise and found the impacts to be less than significant, although the IS/IEC estimated minor increases in traffic noise levels. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions that alter or revise regulations related to noise. |
|--|---|-----------------|---|

THRESHOLD ATTAINMENT STATUS

| <u>Category</u> | <u>Reporting Category</u> | <u>Standard Type</u> | <u>Name of Standard</u> | <u>Adopted Standard</u> | <u>2015 Status</u> | <u>Status (2015)</u> | <u>Trend (2015)</u> | <u>Confidence (2015)</u> | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| WATER QUALITY | DEEP WATER (PELAGIC) LAKE TAHOE | WQ1 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Disk | The annual average deep water transparency as measured by Secchi disk shall not be decreased below 29.7 meters (97.4 feet), the average levels recorded between 1967 and 1971 by the University of California, Davis. | Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------|---------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| WATER QUALITY | DEEP WATER (PELAGIC) LAKE TAHOE | WQ2 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Primary Productivity | Maintain annual mean phytoplankton primary productivity at or below 52gmC/m2/yr | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Rapid Decline | High |
| WATER QUALITY | LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE | WQ3 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Littoral Turbidity | Attain turbidity values not to exceed three NTU. Turbidity shall not exceed one NTU in shallow waters of the Lake not directly influenced by stream discharges. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Moderate |
| WATER QUALITY | LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE | WQ4 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Littoral Turbidity - non-stream zone | | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Moderate |
| WATER QUALITY | LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE | WQ5 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Littoral phytoplankton primary productivity | Attain 1967-71 mean values for phytoplankton primary productivity in the littoral zone. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE | WQ6 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Littoral periphyton | Attain 1967-71 mean values for periphyton biomass in the littoral zone. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE | WQ7 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Attached Algae | Support actions to reduce the extent and distribution of excessive periphyton (attached) algae in the nearshore (littoral zone) of Lake Tahoe | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ8 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS Prevention | Prevent the introduction of new aquatic invasive species into the region's waters. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ9 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS Abundance | Reduce the abundance of known aquatic invasive species. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ10 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS Distribution | Reduce the distribution of known aquatic invasive species. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ11 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS ecological impacts | Abate harmful ecological impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ12 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS economic impacts | Abate harmful economic impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ13 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS social impacts | Abate harmful social impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES | WQ14 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | AIS public health impacts | Abate harmful public health impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | TRIBUTARIES | WQ15 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Nitrogen Concentration - streams | Attain applicable state standards for concentrations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen | 3 of 7 tributaries in attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| WATER QUALITY | TRIBUTARIES | WQ16 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Phosphorus concentration - streams | Attain applicable state standards for concentrations of dissolved phosphorus. | 3 of 7 tributaries in attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| WATER QUALITY | TRIBUTARIES | WQ17 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Iron concentration streams | Attain applicable state standards for dissolved iron. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | TRIBUTARIES | WQ18 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Suspended Sediment concentration streams | Attain a 90 percentile value for suspended sediment concentration of 60 mg/1. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------|------|---------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| WATER QUALITY | SURFACE RUNOFF | WQ19 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Dissolved inorganic nitrogen concentrations - stormwater | Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for dissolved inorganic nitrogen of 0.5 mg/1 in surface runoff directly discharged to a surface water body in the Basin. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | SURFACE RUNOFF | WQ20 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Phosphorus concentration - | Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for dissolved | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | SURFACE RUNOFF | WQ21 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Iron concentration - stormwater | Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for dissolved iron of 0.5 mg/1 in surface runoff directly discharged to a surface water body in the Basin. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | SURFACE RUNOFF | WQ22 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Suspended Sediment concentration - stormwater | Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for suspended sediment of 250 mg/1 in surface runoff directly discharged to a surface water body in the Basin. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ23 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Surface Discharge - nitrogen | Surface Discharge: Total Nitrogen Maximum concentration 0.5 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ24 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Surface Discharge - phosphorus | Surface Discharge: Total phosphate Maximum concentration 0.1 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ25 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Surface Discharge - iron | Surface Discharge: Total iron Maximum concentration 0.5 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ26 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Surface Discharge - turbidity | Surface Discharge: Turbidity Maximum concentration 20 JTU. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ27 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Surface Discharge - grease and oil | Surface Discharge: Grease and Oil Maximum concentration 2.0 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ28 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Discharge to groundwater - nitrogen | Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Total Nitrogen Maximum concentration 0.5 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ29 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Discharge to groundwater - phosphorus | Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Total Phosphate Maximum concentration 1 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ30 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Discharge to groundwater - iron | Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Total iron Maximum concentration 4.0 mg/ | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ31 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Discharge to groundwater - turbidity | Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Turbidity Maximum concentration 200 JTU. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| WATER QUALITY | GROUNDWATER | WQ32 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Discharge to groundwater- grease and oil | Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Grease and Oil Maximum concentration 40.0 mg/l. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | OTHER LAKES | WQ33 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Attain existing water quality standards. | Attain existing water quality standards. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ34 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - Fine Sediment Particles | Reduce fine sediment particle (inorganic particle size < 16 micrometers in diameter) load to achieve long-term pelagic water quality standards (WQ1 and WQ2). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ35 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - total annual phosphorus | Reduce total annual phosphorus load to achieve long-term pelagic water quality standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ36 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - total annual nitrogen | Reduce total annual nitrogen load to achieve long-term pelagic water quality standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ37 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - total annual suspended sediment | Decrease total annual suspended sediment load to achieve littoral turbidity standards (WQ3 and WQ4). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ38 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - dissolved phosphorus | Reduce the loading of dissolved phosphorus to achieve pelagic water standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ39 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - iron | Reduce the loading of iron to achieve pelagic water standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ40 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Load - other algal nutrients | Reduce the loading of other algal nutrients to achieve pelagic water standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | The most stringent of the three dissolved inorganic nitrogen load reduction targets shall apply: i. Reduce dissolved inorganic nitrogen loads to pelagic and littoral Lake Tahoe from2: a) surface runoff by approximately 50 percent of the 1973-81 annual average, b) groundwater approximately 30 percent of the 1973-81 annual average, and c) atmospheric sources approximately 20 percent of the 1973-81 annual average. ii. Reduce dissolved inorganic nitrogen loading to Lake Tahoe from all sources by 25 percent of the 1973-81 annual average. iii. To achieve littoral water quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6). | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| WATER QUALITY | LOAD REDUCTIONS | WQ41 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Load - dissolved nitogen | WQ6). | | | |

SOIL CONSERVATION

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC1 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 1a | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability subclass 1a - 1%. Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC2 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 1b | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability subclass 1b - 1%. Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC3 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 1c | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability subclass 1c - 1%. Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC4 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 2 | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 2 - 1%. Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC5 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 3 | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 3 - 5%. Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC6 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 4 | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 4 - 20%. Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC7 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 5 | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 5 - 25%. Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC8 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 6 | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 6 - 30%. Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | IMPERVIOUS COVER | SC9 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Bailey Land Coverage Class 7 | Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 7 - 30%. Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| SOIL CONSERVATION | STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES | SC10 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Preserve SEZ function | Preserve existing naturally functioning SEZ lands in their natural hydrologic condition. | Non-Attainment | Implemented | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES | SC11 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Restore undeveloped SEZ | Restore all disturbed SEZ lands in undeveloped, unsubdivided lands. Restore 25 percent of the SEZ lands that have been identified as disturbed, developed or subdivided. | Non-Attainment | No status determination | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | N/A |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES | SC12 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Restore 25% disturbed SEZ | Restore 25% disturbed SEZ | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| SOIL CONSERVATION | STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES | SC13 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | 5% increase SEZ function | Attain a 5 percent total increase in the area of naturally functioning SEZ lands. | Non-Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |

AIR QUALITY

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------------|-----|---------------------|------------------------|---|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| AIR QUALITY | CARBON MONOXIDE | AQ1 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | 8-hour Carbon Monoxide | Maintain carbon monoxide concentrations at or below 6 parts per million (7 mg/m3) averaged over 8 hours. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| AIR QUALITY | CARBON MONOXIDE | AQ2 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Winter Traffic Volume | Reduce traffic volumes on the U.S. 50 Corridor by 7 percent during the winter from the 1981 base year between 4:00 p.m. and 12:00 midnight, provided that those traffic volumes shall be amended as necessary to meet the respective state standards. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| AIR QUALITY | OZONE | AQ3 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | 1-hour Ozone | Maintain ozone concentrations at or below 0.08 parts per million averaged over 1 hour. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | High |
| AIR QUALITY | OZONE | AQ4 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Oxides of Nitrogen | Maintain oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions at or below the 1981 level. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| AIR QUALITY | REGIONAL VISIBILITY4 | AQ5 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Bliss State Park 50% | Achieve an extinction coefficient of 25 Mm-1 at least 50 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the Bliss State Park monitoring site (visual range of 156 kilometer, 97 miles). | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| AIR QUALITY | REGIONAL VISIBILITY4 | AQ6 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Bliss State Park 90% | Achieve an extinction coefficient of 34 Mm-1 at least 90 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the Bliss State Park monitoring site (visual range of 115 kilometers, 71 miles). | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

AIR QUALITY SUBREGIONAL VISIBILITY5 AQ7 NUMERICAL STANDARD South Lake 50% Achieve an extinction coefficient of 50 Mm-1 at least 50 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the South Lake Tahoe monitoring site (visual range of 78 kilometers, 48 miles). No Status Determination

AIR QUALITY SUBREGIONAL VISIBILITY5 AQ8 NUMERICAL STANDARD South Lake 90% Achieve an extinction coefficient of 125 Mm-1 at least 90 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the South Lake Tahoe monitoring site (visual range of 31 kilometers, 19 miles). No Status Determination

AIR QUALITY RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER AQ9 NUMERICAL STANDARD PM10 24-hour Particulate Matter10 24-hour Standard: Maintain Particulate Matter10 at or below 50µg/m3 measured over a 24-hour period in the portion of the Region within California, and maintain Particulate Matter10 at or below 150 µg/m3 measured over a 24-hour period in the portion of the Region within Nevada. Particulate Matter10 measurements shall be made using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard. Non-Attainment

| | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|------|--------------------|----------------------|--|------------|
| AIR QUALITY | RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER | AQ10 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | PM10 Annual Average | Particulate Matter10 Annual Arithmetic Average - Maintain Particulate Matter10 at or below annual arithmetic average of 20µg/m3 in the portion of the Region within California, and maintain Particulate Matter10 at or below annual arithmetic average in the portion of the Region within Nevada. Particulate Matter10 measurements shall be made using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard. | Attainment |
| AIR QUALITY | RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER | AQ11 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | PM2.5 24-hour | Particulate Matter2.5 24-hour Standard - Maintain Particulate Matter2.5 at or below 35µg/m3 measured over a 24-hour period using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard. | Attainment |
| AIR QUALITY | RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER | AQ12 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | PM2.5 Annual Average | Particulate Matter2.5 Annual Arithmetic Average - Maintain Particulate Matter2.5 at or below annual arithmetic average of 12µg/m3 in the portion of the Region within California and maintain Particulate Matter2.5 at or below annual arithmetic average of 15µg/m3 in the portion of the Region within Nevada. Particulate Matter2.5 measurements shall be made using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard. | Attainment |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Considerably Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------|---------------------|---|--|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| AIR QUALITY | NITRATE DEPOSITION | AQ13 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Nitrate Deposition | Reduce the transport of nitrates into the Basin and reduce oxides of nitrogen (NOx) produced in the Basin consistent with the water quality thresholds. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| AIR QUALITY | NITRATE DEPOSITION | AQ14 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Vehicle Miles Traveled | Reduce vehicle miles of travel in the Basin by 10 percent of the 1981 base year values. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate-Improvement | Moderate- |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | | | | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP1 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | SEZ non-degradation | A non-degradation standard shall apply to native deciduous trees, wetlands, and meadows to preserve plant communities and significant wildlife habitat, while providing for opportunities to increase the acreage of such riparian associations to be consistent with the SEZ threshold. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP2 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Vegetation Community Richness, Abundance, and Pattern | Increase plant and structural diversity of forest communities through appropriate management practices as measured by diversity indices of species richness, relative abundance, and pattern. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP3 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Vegetation Community Richness | maintain the existing species richness of the Basin by providing for the perpetuation of the following plant associations: Yellow Pine Forest: Jeffrey pine, White fir, Incense cedar, Sugar pine. Red Fir Forest: Red fir, Jeffrey pine, Lodgepole pine, Western white pine, Mountain hemlock, Western juniper. Subalpine Forest: Whitebark pine, Mountain hemlock, Mountain mahogany. Shrub Association: Greenleaf and Pinemat manzanita, Tobacco brush, Sierra chinquapin, Huckleberry oak, Mountain whitethorn. Sagebrush Scrub Vegetation: Basin sagebrush, Bitterbrush, Douglas chaenactis. Deciduous Riparian: Quaking aspen, Mountain alder, Black cotton-wood, Willow. Meadow Associations (Wet and Dry Meadow): Mountain squirrel tail, Alpine gentian, Whorled penstemon, Asters, Fescues, Mountain brome, Corn lilies, Mountain bentgrass, Hairgrass, Marsh marigold, Elephant heads, Tinker's penney, Mountain Timothy, Sedges, Rushes, | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP4 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Abundance of Meadow and Wetlands | Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain at least four percent meadow and wetland vegetation. | Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP5 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Abundance of Riparian Deciduous | Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain at least four percent deciduous riparian vegetation | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Low |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP6 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Abundance of Shrubs | Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain no more than 25 percent dominant shrub association vegetation | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------|---------------------|---|--|----------------|
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP7 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Abundance of Yellow Pine Forest in Seral Stages | Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain 15-25 percent of the Yellow Pine Forest in seral stages other than mature. | Non-Attainment |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP8 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Abundance of Red Fir Forest in Seral Stages | Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain 15-25 percent of the Red Fir Forest in seral stages other than mature Pattern - Provide for the proper juxtaposition of vegetation communities and age classes by; 1. Limiting acreage size of new forest openings to no more than eight acres Pattern - Provide for the proper juxtaposition of vegetation communities and age classes by; 2. Adjacent openings shall not be of the same relative age class or successional stage to avoid uniformity in stand composition and age. | Non-Attainment |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP9 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Size of New Forest Openings | Pattern - Provide for the proper juxtaposition of vegetation communities and age classes by; 2. Adjacent openings shall not be of the same relative age class or successional stage to avoid uniformity in stand composition and age. | Attainment |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP10 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Stand composition and age | Pattern - Provide for the proper juxtaposition of vegetation communities and age classes by; 2. Adjacent openings shall not be of the same relative age class or successional stage to avoid uniformity in stand composition and age. | Attainment |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | COMMON VEGETATION | VP11 | MANAGEMENT STANDARD | Bailey Capability | Native vegetation shall be maintained at a maximum level to be consistent with the limits defined in the Land-Capability Classification of the Lake Tahoe Basin, California-Nevada, A Guide For Planning, Bailey, 19746, for allowable impervious cover and permanent site disturbance. | Attainment |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS | VP12 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Total Old growth | Attain and maintain a minimum percentage of 55 percent by area of forested lands within the Tahoe Region in a late seral or old growth condition, and distributed across elevation zones. Standards VP 13, VP14, and VP15 must be attained to achieve this threshold. | Non-Attainment |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Considerably Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| Considerably Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------|--------------------|---|--|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS8 | VP13 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Sub-Alpine old growth | 61 percent of the Subalpine zone (greater than 8,500 feet elevation) must be in a late seral or old growth condition. The Subalpine zone will contribute 5 percent (7,600 acres) of forested lands towards VP13. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS9 | VP14 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Upper Montane old growth | 60 percent of the upper montane zone (between 7,000 and 8,500 feet elevation) must be in a late seral or old growth condition. The Upper Montane zone will contribute 30 percent (45,900 acres) of forested lands towards VP13. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS10 | VP15 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Montane old growth | 48 percent of the Montane zone (lower than 7,000 feet elevation) must be in a late seral or old growth condition; the Montane zone will contribute 20 percent (30,600 acres) of forested lands towards VP13. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITIES | VP16 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Deepwater plants | Provide for the non-degradation of the natural qualities of any plant community that is uncommon to the Basin or of exceptional scientific, ecological, or scenic value. This threshold shall apply but not be limited to: The deep-water plants of Lake Tahoe. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITIES | VP17 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Freel Peak Long-petaled Lewisia - Lewisia pygmaea | Provide for the non-degradation of the natural qualities of any plant community that is uncommon to the Basin or of exceptional scientific, ecological, or scenic value. This threshold shall apply but not be limited to: The Freel Peak Cushion Plant community. Maintain a minimum of 2 Lewisia pygmaea longipetala population sites. | Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Rapid Decline | Low |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | SENSITIVE PLANTS | VP18 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Cup Lake Draba - Draba asterophora v. longipetala | Maintain a minimum of 2 Draba asterophora v. macrocarpa population sites | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | SENSITIVE PLANTS | VP19 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | macrocarpa | Maintain a minimum of 2 Draba asterophora v. macrocarpa population sites | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | SENSITIVE PLANTS | VP20 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Tahoe Draba - Draba asterophora v. asterophora | Maintain a minimum of 5 Draba asterophora v. asterophora macrocarpa population sites. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | SENSITIVE PLANTS | VP21 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Tahoe Yellow Cress - Rorippa subumbellata | Maintain a minimum of 26 Rorippa subumbellata population sites | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | High |
| VEGETATION PRESERVATION | SENSITIVE PLANTS | VP22 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Galena Rock Cress - Arabis rigidissima v. demote | Maintain a minimum of 7 Arabis rigidissima v. demote population sites. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Low |
| WILDLIFE | | | | | | | | | |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W1 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Goshawk population sites | Provide a minimum of 12 Goshawk population sites. | No Status Determination | No status determination | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W2 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Osprey population sites | Provide a minimum of 4 Osprey population sites. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Rapid Improvement | Moderate |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W3 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Bald Eagle Wintering population sites | Provide a minimum of 2 Bald Eagle (Winter) population sites. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Rapid Improvement | Low |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W4 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Bald Eagle Nesting population sits | Provide a minimum of 1 Bald Eagle (Nesting) population sites | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W5 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Golden Eagle population sites | Provide a minimum of 4 Golden Eagle population sites | No Status Determination | No status determination | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W6 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Peregrine population sites | Provide a minimum of 2 Peregrine population sites. | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Rapid Improvement | Moderate |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W7 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Waterfowl population sites | Provide a minimum of 18 Waterfowl population sites. Provide disturbance zones in the most suitable 500 acres surrounding nest site including a 0.25 mile buffer centered on nest sites, and influence zones in 3.5 mi for Goshawk. | Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Low |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W8 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Goshawk disturbance zone | Provide 0.25 mi disturbance zones and 0.6 mi influence zones for Osprey. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W9 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Osprey disturbance zone | Provide disturbance zones in mapped areas and influence zones in mapped areas for Bald Eagle (Winter). | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W10 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Bald Eagle Wintering disturbance zone | Provide 0.5 mi disturbance zones and variable influence zones for Bald Eagle (Nesting). | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W11 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Bald Eagle Nesting disturbance zone | Provide 0.25 mi disturbance zones and 9.0 mi influence zones for Golden Eagle. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W12 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Golden Eagle disturbance zone | Provide 0.25 mi disturbance zones and 7.6 mi influence zones for Peregrine. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W13 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Peregrine disturbance zone | Provide disturbance zones in mapped areas and influence zones in mapped areas for Waterfowl. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W14 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Waterfowl disturbance zone | Provide disturbance zones in meadows and influence zones in mapped areas for Deer. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| WILDLIFE | SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES | W15 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Deer disturbance zone | | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| FISHERIES | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|----|---------------------|---|--|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| FISHERIES | STREAM HABITAT | F1 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Excellent Stream Habitat | As indicated by the Stream Habitat Quality GIS data, amended May 1997, based upon the re-rated stream scores set forth in Appendix C-1 of the 1996 Evaluation Report, maintain: 75 miles of excellent stream habitat | Attainment | Considerably Better Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| FISHERIES | STREAM HABITAT | F2 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Good Stream Habitat | As indicated by the Stream Habitat Quality GIS data, amended May 1997, based upon the re-rated stream scores set forth in Appendix C-1 of the 1996 Evaluation Report, maintain: 105 miles of good stream habitat. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| FISHERIES | STREAM HABITAT | F3 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Marginal Stream Habitat | As indicated by the Stream Habitat Quality GIS data, amended May 1997, based upon the re-rated stream scores set forth in Appendix C-1 of the 1996 Evaluation Report, maintain: 38 miles of marginal stream habitat. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| FISHERIES | INSTREAM FLOWS | F4 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Instream Flow | Until instream flow standards are established in the Regional Plan to protect fishery values, a non-degradation standard shall apply to instream flows. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| FISHERIES | LAKE HABITAT | F7 | MANAGEMENT STANDARC | Lake Habitat | A non-degradation standard shall apply to fish habitat in Lake Tahoe. Achieve the equivalent of 5,948 total acres of excellent habitat as indicated by the Prime Fish Habitat GIS Layer as may be amended based on best available science. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| NOISE | | | | | | | | | |
| NOISE | SINGLE NOISE EVENTS | N1 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Aircraft Noise Departure/Arrival (8am to 8pm) | The following maximum noise levels are allowed. All values are in decibels. Aircraft measured 6,500 m-start of takeoff roll 2,000 m-runway threshold approach: 80 dBA - between the hours of 8am and 8pm8 | Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |
| NOISE | SINGLE NOISE EVENTS | N2 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Aircraft Noise Departure/Arrival (8pm to 8am) | The following maximum noise levels are allowed. All values are in decibels. Aircraft measured 6,500 m-start of takeoff roll 2,000 m-runway threshold approach: 77.1 dBA - between the hours of 8pm and 8am | Non-Attainment | No status determination | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| NOISE | SINGLE NOISE EVENTS | N15 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Snowmobiles | Snowmobiles: 82 dBA – Travelling at speeds less than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft. | No Status Determination | No status determination | N/A | N/A |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N16 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | High Density Residential Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 55 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the High Density Residential Areas | Non-Attainment | Somewhat Worse Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N17 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Low Density Residential Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 50 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Low Density Residential Areas Land Use Category. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N18 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Hotel/Motel Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 60 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Hotel/Motel Areas Land Use Category. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N19 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Commercial Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 60 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Commercial Areas Land Use Category. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N20 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Industrial Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 65 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Industrial Areas Land Use Category | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N21 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Urban Outdoor Recreation Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 55 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Urban Outdoor Recreation Areas Land Use Category. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N22 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Rural Outdoor Recreation Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 50 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Rural Outdoor Recreation Areas Land Use Category. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | Low |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N23 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Wilderness and Roadless Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 45 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Wilderness and Roadless Areas Land Use Category. | Attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | Moderate |
| NOISE | CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS | N24 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Critical Wildlife Habitat Areas | Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 45 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Critical Wildlife Habitat Areas Land Use Category. | Non-Attainment | Considerably Worse Than Target | Insufficient Data to Determine Trend | Low |

RECREATION

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----|------------------|----------------------------|---|------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| RECREATION | HIGH QUALITY RECREATIONAL EXPERIENCE | R1 | POLICY STATEMENT | Recreation Experience | It shall be the policy of the TRPA Governing Body in development of the Regional Plan to preserve and enhance the high quality recreational experience including preservation of high-quality undeveloped shorezone and other natural areas. In developing the Regional Plan, the staff and Governing Body shall consider provisions for additional access, where lawful and feasible, to the shorezone and high quality undeveloped areas for low density recreational uses. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |
| RECREATION | FAIR SHARE | R2 | POLICY STATEMENT | Distribution of Recreation | It shall be the policy of the TRPA Governing Body in development of the Regional Plan to establish and ensure a fair share of the total Basin capacity for outdoor recreation is available to the general public. | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |

SCENIC RESOURCES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----|--------------------|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------|
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S1 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Scenic Quality Ratings for Roadway Units | Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-3 of the Draft Study Report9 | 63% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S2 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Scenic Quality Ratings for Shoreline Units | Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-5 of the Draft Study Report10. | 67% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S3 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Roadway Scenic Resources | Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-8 of the Draft Study Report11. | 98% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | High |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------|--|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------|
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S4 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Shoreline Scenic Resources | Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-9 of the Draft Study Report12. | 92% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S5 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Roadway Travel Route Ratings | Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Table 13-6 of the Draft Study Report13. | 63% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S6 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Shoreline Travel Route Ratings | Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Table 13-7 of the Draft Study Report14. | 67% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S7 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Restore Roadway Scenic Quality | Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Restore scenic quality in roadway units rated 15 or below | 98% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS | S8 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Restore Shoreline Scenic Quality | Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Restore scenic quality in shoreline units rated 7 or below. | 92% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Moderate Improvement | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | OTHER AREAS | S9 | NUMERICAL STANDARD | Scenic Quality of Other Areas (Recreation Sites and Bike Trails) | Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned to each identified scenic resource, including individual subcomponent numerical ratings, for views from bike paths and other recreation areas open to the general public as recorded in the 1993 Lake Tahoe Basin Scenic Resource Evaluation. It shall be the policy of the TRPA Governing Body in development of the Regional Plan, in cooperation with local jurisdictions, to insure the height, bulk, texture, form, materials, colors, lighting, signing and other design elements of new, remodeled and | 97.5% of units in attainment | At or Somewhat Better Than Target | Little or No Change | High |
| SCENIC RESOURCES | BUILT ENVIRONMENT | S10 | POLICY STATEMENT | Built Environment | | Attainment | Implemented | N/A | N/A |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| WATER QUALITY/SEZ - IN PLACE | | | | |
| 1 | BMP requirements, new development: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to BMP compliance. All future transportation projects will be subject to the BMP requirements in Chapter 60 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances. Implementation of the transportation projects along roadways will expedite the completion of BMP's in areas that currently do not have these in place. |
| 2 | BMP implementation program -- existing streets and highways: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Trans, Fish | Y | |
| 3 | BMP implementation program -- existing urban development: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | Y | |
| 4 | BMP implementation program -- existing urban drainage systems: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Trans, Fish | Y | |
| 5 | Capital Improvement Program for Erosion and Runoff Control | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Trans, Fish | Y | A number of projects in the 2020 RTP/SCS are included in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP), or EIP 5-Year List, for Erosion and Runoff Control and will therefore help to accelerate implementation of the EIP. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 6 | Excess coverage mitigation program: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to the excess coverage mitigation program. Transportation projects will be required to comply with Chapter 60 of TRPA Code provisions, where applicable. |
| 7 | Effluent limitations: California (SWRCB, Lahontan Board) and Nevada (NDP): <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 5 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter effluent limitations. |
| 8 | Limitations on new subdivisions: (See the Goals and Policies: Land Use Element) | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Rec, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to subdivision of land. |
| 9 | Land use planning and controls: See the Goals and Policies: Land Use Element and Code of Ordinances Chapters 11, 12, 13, 14, and 21 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Trans, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to land use planning. All future transportation projects would be subject to the Land Use Element and Code of Ordinances Chapters 11, 12, 13, 14, and 21. |
| 10 | Residential development priorities, The Individual Parcel Evaluation System (IPES): Goals and Policies: Implementation Element and Code of Ordinances Chapter 53 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter the provisions related to the Individual Parcel Evaluation System. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| 11 | Limits on land coverage for new development: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element and Code of Ordinances Chapter 30 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter limits on land coverage for new development. Transportation projects identified in the 2020 RTP/SCS will be subject to the provisions regarding land coverage identified in Chapter 30. |
| 12 | Transfer of development: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element and Implementation Element | WQ, Soils/SEZ | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to transfer of development. |
| 13 | Restrictions on SEZ encroachment and vegetation alteration: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 30 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish, Rec, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter existing restrictions on SEZ encroachment and vegetation alteration in the TRPA Code, Chapter 30: Land Coverage. Transportation projects that involve construction or the placement of infrastructure near SEZ or that could alter vegetation would be subject to these provisions. |
| 14 | SEZ restoration program: Environmental Improvement Program. | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not affect or alter the SEZ restoration program. |
| 15 | SEZ setbacks: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 53 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish | N | SEZ setback requirements in the TRPA Code, Chapter 53: Individual Parcel Evaluation System, Section 53.9, will not be altered by the 2020 RTP/SCS. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|--|--------------------------|---|
| 16 | Fertilizer reporting requirements: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish, Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS is not modifying the Resource Management and Protection regulations, Chapters 60 through 68, of the TRPA Code. Thus, fertilizer reporting and water quality mitigation requirements will remain in effect. |
| 17 | Water quality mitigation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 18 | Restrictions on rate and/or amount of additional development | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS is not modifying the rate and/or amount of additional development. New development associated with transportation projects would be within the development limits set by the Regional Plan. |
| 19 | Improved BMP implementation/enforcement program | WQ, Soils/SEZ | Y | See response to Compliance Measures 1 through 4. |
| 20 | Increased funding for EIP projects for erosion and runoff control | WQ, Soils/SEZ | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS would not directly result in increased funding for erosion and runoff control. However, many projects identified in the Plan are EIP projects that, when constructed, will include improved erosion and runoff control components. Furthermore, 2020 RTP/SCS Policy 5.4 focuses on leveraging revenue sources to fund transportation and water quality improvements. |
| 21 | Artificial wetlands/runoff treatment program | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | There are no changes to the artificial wetlands/runoff treatment program proposed within the 2020 RTP/SCS. |
| 22 | Transfer of development from SEZs | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS will not alter the current transfer of development from SEZ program. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 23 | Improved mass transportation | WQ, Trans, Noise | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects that will improve and expand upon transit in the Region. See 2020 RTP/SCS Policies 2.1-2.14 and Appendix B for a list of constrained and unconstrained projects. |
| 24 | Redevelopment and redirection of land use: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element and Code of Ordinances Chapter 13 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects that will result in improved transportation connections between and within communities which is consistent with Regional goals and policies regarding redevelopment and redirection of land use. The 2020 RTP/SCS would not affect provisions in Chapter 13 related to Area Plans. |
| 25 | Combustion heater rules, stationary source controls, and related rules: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter these Compliance Measures. Existing TRPA Code provisions will remain in effect. |
| 26 | Elimination of accidental sewage releases: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 27 | Reduction of sewer line exfiltration: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 28 | Effluent limitations | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 29 | Regulation of wastewater disposal at sites not connected to sewers: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 30 | Prohibition on solid waste disposal: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 31 | Mandatory garbage pick-up: Goals and Policies: Public Service Element | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife | N | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| 32 | Hazardous material/wastes programs: Goals and Policies: Land Use Element and Code of Ordinances Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 33 | BMP implementation program, Snow and ice control practices: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not change BMP requirements. See response to Compliance Measures 1 through 4. |
| 34 | Reporting requirements, highway abrasives and deicers: Goals and Policies:, Land Use Element and Code of Ordinances Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 35 | BMP implementation program--roads, trails, skidding, logging practices: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60, Chapter 61 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 36 | BMP implementation program--outdoor recreation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish, Rec | N | |
| 37 | BMP implementation program--livestock confinement and grazing: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 21, Chapter 60, Chapter 64 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish | N | |
| 38 | BMP implementation program--pesticides | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 39 | Land use planning and controls --timber harvesting: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 21 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ, Wildlife, Fish, Scenic | N | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| 40 | Land use planning and controls - outdoor recreation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 21 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife, Noise, Rec, Scenic | N | |
| 41 | Land use planning and controls--ORV use: Goals and Policies: Recreation Element | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ, Wildlife, Fish, Noise, Rec, Scenic | N | Regional Plan Policy R-1.5 states that "Off-road vehicle (ORV) use is prohibited in the Lake Tahoe Region except on specified roads, trails, or designated areas where the impacts can be mitigated." The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include alteration or expansion of ORV use. |
| 42 | Control of encroachment and coverage in sensitive areas | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife, Rec, Scenic | N | No changes are being proposed that would impact this compliance measure. The existing TRPA Code provisions will remain in effect. |
| 43 | Control on shorezone encroachment and vegetation alteration: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 83 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not propose changes to shorezone ordinances. Any transportation project that includes development within the shorezone would be required to comply with these ordinance provisions. |
| 44 | BMP implementation program--shorezone areas: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 45 | BMP implementation program--dredging and construction in Lake Tahoe: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 46 | Restrictions and conditions on filling and dredging: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 84 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 47 | Protection of stream deltas | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife, Fish, | N | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| 48 | Marina master plans: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 14 | WQ, AQ/Trans, Fish, Scenic | N | |
| 49 | Additional pump-out facilities: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 50 | Controls on anti-fouling coatings: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 60 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 51 | Modifications to list of exempt activities | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |

WATER QUALITY/SEZ - SUPPLEMENTAL

| | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 52 | More stringent SEZ encroachment rules | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife, Fish | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include any provisions that would impact Compliance Measures 52 through 61. Future transportation projects will be required to comply with provisions related to idling, pollutants, improved infiltration, and water conservation. Implementation of transportation infrastructure projects will include water quality improvements to drainage and prevention of pollutant deposition into water bodies. |
| 53 | More stringent coverage transfer requirements | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 54 | Modifications to IPES | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 55 | Increased idling restrictions | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ | N | |
| 56 | Control of upwind pollutants | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ | N | |
| 57 | Additional controls on combustion heaters | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ | N | |
| 58 | Improved exfiltration control program | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |
| 59 | Improved infiltration control program | WQ, Soils/SEZ | N | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| 60 | Water conservation/flow reduction program | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 61 | Additional land use controls | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Wildlife | N | |

AIR QUALITY/TRANSPORTATION - IN PLACE

| | | | | |
|----|---|------------|---|---|
| 62 | Fixed Route Transit - South Shore | Trans, Rec | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects focused on enhanced transit, including fixed route services. |
| 63 | Fixed Route Transit - North Shore | Trans, Rec | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects focused on enhanced transit, including fixed route services. |
| 64 | Demand Responsive Transit - South Shore | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs and projects focused on enhanced transit. See RTP/SCS Policy 2.7 and 2.14 specific to demand responsive transit. |
| 65 | Seasonal Trolley Services | Trans, Rec | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs and projects focused on enhanced transit, including seasonal shuttle services. |
| 66 | Social Service Transportation | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects focused on enhanced transit. See RTP/SCS Policies 2.7-2.10 specific to accessible transit. |
| 67 | Shuttle programs | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs and projects focused on enhanced transit, including visitor shuttle service. |
| 68 | Ski shuttle services | Trans, Rec | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs and projects focused on enhanced transit, including visitor shuttle service. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 69 | Intercity bus services | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects focused on enhanced transit, including service between communities. Policy 2.3 and 2.4 specifically address inter-regional connections. |
| 70 | Passenger Transit Facilities: South Y Transit Center | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects focused on enhanced transit, including projects that will enhance south shore transit facilities. |
| 71 | Bikeways, Bike Trails | Trans, Noise, Rec, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects focused on enhanced bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and safety improvements consistent with the 2016 Regional Active Transportation Plan (ATP). 2020 RTP/SCS Policies 2.15-2.17 focus on active transportation. |
| 72 | Pedestrian facilities | Trans, Rec, Scenic | Y | |
| 73 | Wood heater controls: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 74 | Gas heater controls: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ | N | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 75 | Stationary source controls: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ | N | |
| 76 | U.S. Postal Service Mail Delivery | Trans | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include any provisions that would impact U.S. Postal Service Delivery. |
| 77 | Indirect source review/air quality mitigation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 78 | Idling Restrictions: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ | N | |
| 79 | Vehicle Emission Limitations(State/Federal) | WQ, AQ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC Section 3.4.2 analyzed vehicle emissions and found the plan to be in compliance with federal and state air quality standards. |
| 80 | Open Burning Controls: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapters 61 and Chapter 65 | WQ, AQ, Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 81 | BMP and Revegetation Practices | WQ, AQ, Wildlife, Fish | Y | See response to Compliance Measures 1 through 4. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 82 | Employer-based Trip Reduction Programs: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs, and projects that will enhance and encourage transit, active transportation facilities, and reduce reliance on the automobile. Policy 1.7 commits TRPA to develop employee trip reduction programs in partnership with local jurisdictions and employers. |
| 83 | Vehicle rental programs: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 65 | Trans | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to the vehicle rental program mitigation fee. |
| 84 | Parking Standards | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to parking standards, management areas, fees or facilities. The 2020 RTP/SCS Policies 2.16, 2.20, 2.21 focus on coordinated parking management programs. |
| 85 | Parking Management Areas | Trans | Y | |
| 86 | Parking Fees | Trans | Y | |
| 87 | Parking Facilities | Trans | Y | |
| 88 | Traffic Management Program - Tahoe City | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs and projects focused on reducing congestion throughout the Tahoe Region. Traffic management projects in Tahoe City include trail improvements, complete streets projects, and highway improvements. |
| 89 | US 50 Traffic Signal Synchronization - South Shore | Trans | Y | The US 50 Traffic Signal Synchronization has been identified in the 2020 RTP/SCS as the California Multi-Modal Signal Control Optimization Project. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 90 | General Aviation, The Lake Tahoe Airport | Trans, Noise | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS Policy 1.8 requires continued coordination with the City of South Lake Tahoe in regards to the Airport Master Plan and limits aviation facilities to those existing in the Region. |
| 91 | Waterborne excursions | WQ, Trans, Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to waterborne excursions. |
| 92 | Waterborne transit services | WQ, Trans, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes Policy 2.6 regarding waterborne transit. The Lake Tahoe Waterborne Ferry Project is identified in the 2020 RTP/SCS. |
| 93 | Air Quality Studies and Monitoring | WQ, AQ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter requirements for continued air quality studies and monitoring. |
| 94 | Alternate Fueled Vehicle - Public/Private Fleets and Infrastructure Improvements | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes Policies 1.6 and 4.3 focused on electric and zero emission vehicles and infrastructure. Transit facility improvement projects also include electric vehicle fleet purchases. |
| 95 | Demand Responsive Transit - North Shore | Trans | N | See response to Compliance Measure 64. |
| 96 | Tahoe Area Regional Transit Maintenance Facility | Trans | Y | While a Maintenance Facility is not specifically addressed, Tahoe Area Regional Transit operations and maintenance projects are identified in the 2020 RTP/SCS. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 97 | Heavenly Ski Resort Gondola | Trans | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not contain policies related to the Heavenly Gondola; however, increased transit service on the South Shore, parking management, and active transportation facilities will enhance connectivity to the Gondola and other ski area amenities. |
| AIR QUALITY/TRANSPORTATION - SUPPLEMENTAL | | | | |
| 98 | Demand Responsive Transit - North Shore | Trans | N | See response to Compliance Measure 64. |
| 99 | Coordinated Transit System - South Shore | Trans | N | See response to Compliance Measure 62 |
| 100 | Transit Passenger Facilities | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes policies, programs and projects focused on enhanced transit. |
| 101 | South Shore Transit Maintenance Facility - South Shore | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS has identified the TTD Maintenance and Administration Facility project. |
| 102 | Transit Service - Fallen Leaf Lake | WQ, Trans | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not specifically include transit service to Fallen Leaf Lake. |
| 103 | Transit Institutional Improvements | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS Policy 2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.9 and 2.11 focus on transit institutional improvements. |
| 104 | Transit Capital and Operations Funding Acquisition | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes a number of capital improvement and operations projects related to transit. 2020 RTP/SCS Policy 2.1 focuses on transit funding. |
| 105 | Transit/Fixed Guideway Easements - South Shore | Trans | N | NA |
| 106 | Visitor Capture Program | Trans | N | NA |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 107 | Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities-- South Shore | Trans, Rec | N | See response to Compliance Measure 71 |
| 108 | Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities-- North Shore | Trans, Rec | Y | |
| 109 | Parking Inventories and Studies Standards | Trans | N | See Response to Compliance Measures 84-87 |
| 110 | Parking Management Areas | Trans | N | |
| 111 | Parking Fees | Trans | N | |
| 112 | Establishment of Parking Task Force | Trans | N | |
| 113 | Construct parking facilities | Trans | Y | |
| 114 | Intersection improvements-- South Shore | Trans, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS has identified a number of intersection improvement projects on the South Shore. |
| 115 | Intersection improvements-- North Shore | Trans, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS has identified a number of intersection improvement projects on the North Shore. |
| 116 | Roadway Improvements - South Shore | Trans, Scenic | Y | See response to Compliance Measure 114 |
| 117 | Roadway Improvements - North Shore | Trans, Scenic | Y | See response to Compliance Measure 115 |
| 118 | Loop Road - South Shore | Trans, Scenic | N | The U.S. 50 South Shore Community Revitalization Project (formerly referred to as the "Loop Road" project), has been identified in the 2020 RTP/SCS |
| 119 | Montreal Road Extension | Trans | N | NA |
| 120 | Kingsbury Connector | Trans | N | NA |
| 121 | Commercial Air Service: Part 132 commercial air service | Trans | N | See response to Compliance Measure 90. |
| 122 | Commercial Air Service: commercial air service that does not require Part 132 certifications | Trans | N | |
| 123 | Expansion of waterborne excursion service | WQ, Trans | N | See response to Compliance Measure 91. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 124 | Re-instate the oxygenated fuel program | WQ, AQ | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include provisions specific to the oxygenated fuel program. |
| 125 | Management Programs | Trans | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS complies with Air Quality Management Plans |
| 126 | Around the Lake Transit | Trans | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS focuses on creating a seamless transportation network around the Lake. Transit and shuttle projects have been identified to further the connections around the Lake |

VEGETATION - IN PLACE

| | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 127 | Vegetation Protection During Construction: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 33 | WQ, AQ, Veg, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS will not alter the provisions of Chapter 33 in the TRPA Code. |
| 128 | Tree Removal: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | Veg, Wildlife, Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 129 | Prescribed Burning: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | WQ, AQ, Veg, Wildlife, Scenic | N | |
| 130 | Remedial Vegetation Management: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | WQ, Veg, Wildlife | N | |
| 131 | Sensitive and Uncommon Plant Protection and Fire Hazard Reduction: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | Veg, Wildlife, Scenic | N | |
| 132 | Revegetation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | WQ, Veg, Wildlife, Scenic | N | |
| 133 | Remedial Action Plans: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 5 | WQ, Veg | N | TRPA will continue to be responsible for preparing Remedial Action Plans, pursuant to Chapter 5: <i>Compliance</i> of the TRPA Code. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 134 | Handbook of Best Management Practices | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Fish | N | The Handbook of Best Management Practices will continue to be used to design and construct BMPs. |
| 135 | Shorezone protection | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg | N | See response to Compliance Measures 43 through 50. |
| 136 | Project Review | WQ, Veg | Y | TRPA and its MOU partners will continue to perform project review and compliance inspections. |
| 137 | Compliance inspections | Veg | Y | |
| 138 | Development Standards in the Backshore | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Wildlife, Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measures 43 through 50. |
| 139 | Land Coverage Standards: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 30 | WQ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish, Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measure 11. |
| 140 | Grass Lake, Research Natural Area | WQ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish, Scenic | N | N/A |
| 141 | Conservation Element, Vegetation Subelement: Goals and Policies | Veg, Wildlife, Fish | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS will not affect the goals and policies contained in the Conservation Element, Vegetation Subelement. |
| 142 | Late Successional Old Growth (LSOG): <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | Veg, Wildlife, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 143 | Stream Environment Zone Vegetation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | WQ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish | N | |
| 144 | Tahoe Yellow Cress Conservation Strategy | Veg | N | Implementation of the 2020 RTP/SCS will not negatively impact efforts to conserve the Tahoe Yellow Cress. |
| 145 | Control and/or Eliminate Noxious Weeds | Veg, Wildlife | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| 146 | Freel Peak Cushion Plant Community Protection | Veg | N | N/A |
| VEGETATION - SUPPLEMENTAL | | | | |
| 147 | Deepwater Plant Protection | WQ, Veg | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17 and 43 through 50. |
| WILDLIFE - IN PLACE | | | | |
| 148 | Wildlife Resources: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 62 | Wildlife, Noise | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 149 | Stream Restoration Program | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish, Rec, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include any changes to the Stream Restoration Program. |
| 150 | BMP and revegetation practices | WQ, Veg, Wildlife, Fish, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include any changes to existing BMP and revegetation requirements. |
| 151 | OHV limitations | WQ, Soils/SEZ, AQ, Wildlife, Noise, Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include any changes to OHV limitations. |
| 152 | Remedial Action Plans: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 5 | Wildlife | N | See response to Compliance Measure 133. |
| 153 | Project Review | Wildlife | Y | See response to Compliance Measure 136 and 137. |
| FISHERIES - IN PLACE | | | | |
| 156 | Fish Resources: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 63 | WQ, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 157 | Tree Removal: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | Wildlife, Fish | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not change tree removal provisions of Chapter 61. |
| 158 | Shorezone BMPs | WQ, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 43 through 50. |
| 159 | Filling and Dredging: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 84 | WQ, Fish | N | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 160 | Location standards for structures in the shorezone: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 84 | WQ, Fish | N | |
| 161 | Restrictions on SEZ encroachment and vegetation alteration | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 162 | SEZ Restoration Program | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measure 14. |
| 163 | Stream restoration program | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 164 | Riparian restoration | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 165 | Livestock: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 64 | WQ, Soils/SEZ, Fish | N | |
| 166 | BMP and revegetation practices | WQ, Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 1 through 4. |
| 167 | Fish habitat study | Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 168 | Remedial Action Plans: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 5 | Fish | N | See response to Compliance Measure 133. |
| 169 | Mitigation Fee Requirements: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 86 | Fish | N | The mitigation fee requirements in Chapter 86 of the TRPA Code are not being modified by the 2020 RTP/SCS. |
| 170 | Compliance inspection | Fish | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS is not modifying existing compliance or inspection programs or provisions. |
| 171 | Public Education Program | Wildlife, Fish | | The 2020 RTP/SCS Policy does not alter provisions related to public education programs. |

NOISE - IN PLACE

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 172 | Airport noise enforcement program | Wildlife, Fish | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not modify existing goals or policies related to noise. Transportation projects will be subject to existing enforcement programs. |
| 173 | Boat noise enforcement program | Wildlife, Fish, Rec | N | |
| 174 | Motor vehicle/motorcycle noise enforcement program: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapters 5 and 23 | Wildlife, Fish | N | |
| 175 | ORV restrictions | AQ, Wildlife, Noise, Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS is not modifying existing ORV or snowmobile restrictions. |
| 176 | Snowmobile Restrictions | WQ, Wildlife, Noise, Rec | N | |
| 177 | Land use planning and controls | Wildlife, Noise | N | See response to Compliance Measure 9. |
| 178 | Vehicle trip reduction programs | Trans, Noise | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS contains policies, programs, and projects aimed at reducing reliance on the private automobile. |
| 179 | Transportation corridor design criteria | Trans, Noise | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS specifically addresses corridor design criteria in policies 3.2 and 4.18, Future transportation projects are required to comply with design standards specific to the respective Corridor Plans, Area and Community plans, and State and and federal design standards. |
| 180 | Airport Master Plan South Lake Tahoe | Trans, Noise | N | See response to Compliance Measure 90. |
| 181 | Loudspeaker restrictions | Wildlife, Noise | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS is not modifying loudspeaker restrictions. |
| 182 | Project Review | Noise | N | See response to Compliance Measures 136 and 137. |
| 183 | Complaint system: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapters 5 and 68 | Noise | N | Existing compliant systems are not being modified. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 184 | Transportation corridor compliance program | Trans, Noise | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does contain policies specific to transportation corridor compliance. Transportation projects would be required to comply with respective Corridor Plans. |
| 185 | Exemptions to noise limitations | Noise | N | Exemptions to noise limitations are not being modified. |
| 186 | TRPA's Environmental Improvement Program (EIP) | Noise | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes and prioritizes implementation of transportation, recreation, and water quality projects identified in the EIP program. |
| 187 | Personal watercraft noise controls | Wildlife, Noise | N | Watercraft noise controls are not modified by the 2020 RTP/SCS |
| NOISE - SUPPLEMENTAL | | | | |
| 188 | Create an interagency noise enforcement MOU for the Tahoe Region. | Noise | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not include noise enforcement provisions. |
| RECREATION - IN PLACE | | | | |
| 189 | Allocation of Development: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 50 | Rec | N | See response to Compliance Measure 10. |
| 190 | Master Plan Guidelines: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 14 | Rec, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to Master Plan guidelines. |
| 191 | Permissible recreation uses in the shorezone and lake zone: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 81 | WQ, Noise, Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to permissible uses in the shorezone and lake zone. |
| 192 | Public Outdoor recreation facilities in sensitive lands | WQ, Rec, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS is not altering provisions regarding public outdoor recreation in sensitive lands. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 193 | Hiking and riding facilities | Rec | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes programs and projects that enhance accessibility and connections to hiking and riding facilities. |
| 194 | Scenic quality of recreation facilities | Rec, Scenic | N | All recreation facilities identified in the 2020 RTP/SCS will be required to meet Scenic Quality standards. |
| 195 | Density standards | Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions nor include projects that would affect the density standards. |
| 196 | Bonus incentive program | Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter existing bonus incentive programs with regards to recreation facilities. |
| 197 | Required Findings: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 4 | Rec | N | All applicable TRPA Code findings will continue to apply to all projects, including those contained in the 2020 RTP/SCS. |
| 198 | Lake Tahoe Recreation Sign Guidelines | Rec, Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS will not impact or alter the Lake Tahoe Recreation Sign Guidelines. Furthermore, 2020 RTP/SCS Policy 2.19 focuses on wayfinding signage that will improve the recreational and visitor experience. |
| 199 | Annual user surveys | Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS policy 4.11 recommends establishing a uniform method of data collection for resident and visitor travel behavior. |
| RECREATION - SUPPLEMENTAL | | | | |
| 200 | Regional recreational plan | Rec | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS will help to implement, through the maintenance, construction, and expansion of access to recreation facilities, the Goals and Policies in the Regional Recreation Plan, which is the Recreation Element in the Regional Plan. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 201 | Establish fair share resource capacity estimates | Rec | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not establish or alter fair share resource capacity estimates, alter reservations of additional resource capacity, or include economic modeling. |
| 202 | Reserve additional resource capacity | Rec | N | |
| 203 | Economic Modeling | Rec | N | |
| SCENIC - IN PLACE | | | | |
| 204 | Project Review and Exempt Activities: <i>Code of Ordinances Chapter 2</i> | Scenic | Y | See response to Compliance Measures 136 and 137. |
| 205 | Land Coverage Limitations: <i>Code of Ordinances Chapter 30</i> | WQ, Scenic | Y | See response to Compliance Measure 11. |
| 206 | Height Standards: <i>Code of Ordinances Chapter 37</i> | Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter provisions related to height standards. Development projects related to transportation infrastructure would be required to comply with the applicable height standards in Chapter 37. |
| 207 | Driveway and Parking Standards: <i>Code of Ordinances Chapter 34</i> | Trans, Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter TRPA Code of Ordinances provisions related to driveway and parking standards. |
| 208 | Signs: <i>Code of Ordinances Chapter 38</i> | Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not contain specific provisions related to signage. Any new signage related development of transportation infrastructure would be required to comply with the provisions of Chapter 38. |
| 209 | Historic Resources: <i>Code of Ordinances Chapter 67</i> | Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 210 | Design Standards: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 36 | Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not alter design standards. Future transportation projects that include new development will be required to comply with the design standards contained in Chapter 36. |
| 211 | Shorezone Tolerance Districts and Development Standards: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 83 | Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measures 43 through 50. |
| 212 | Development Standards Lakeward of Highwater: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 84 | WQ, Scenic | N | |
| 213 | Grading Standards: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 33 | WQ, Scenic | N | |
| 214 | Vegetation Protection During Construction: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 33 | AQ, Veg, Scenic | N | Grading and vegetation protection during construction shall continue to be required to meet the provisions of TRPA Code, Chapter 33, Grading and Construction. |
| 215 | Revegetation: <i>Code of Ordinances</i> Chapter 61 | Scenic | N | See response to Compliance Measures 16 and 17. |
| 216 | Design Review Guidelines | Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS does not affect existing design guidelines. Future transportation infrastructure projects will be required to comply with design review guidelines applicable to the jurisdiction in which the project is located or with the design guideline provisions contained within the TRPA Code of Ordinances. |
| 217 | Scenic Quality Improvement Program(SQIP) | Scenic | Y | See response to Compliance Measure 194. |
| 218 | Project Review Information Packet | Scenic | N | |
| 219 | Scenic Quality Ratings, Features Visible from Bike Paths and Outdoor Recreation Areas Open to the General Public | Trans, Scenic | Y | |

| Tracking Number | Compliance Measure Description | Affected Threshold Categories | Affected by Action (Y/N) | Comments |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 220 | Nevada-side Utility Line Undergrounding Program | Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS includes Policy 6.4 addressing installation of underground utilities. |
| SCENIC - SUPPLEMENTAL | | | | |
| 221 | Real Time Monitoring Program | Scenic | N | The 2020 RTP/SCS will not affect the real time monitoring program. |
| 222 | Integrate project identified in SQIP | Scenic | Y | The 2020 RTP/SCS would not affect compliance with the SQIP. |