

Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527

trpa.gov



### STAFF REPORT

Date: June 18, 2024

To: TRPA Governing Board

From: TRPA Staff

Subject: Homewood Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project Substantial Tree Removal Permit. Applicant:

Homewood Village Resorts, LLC. 5145 W Lake Blvd, Homewood, CA 96141. Assessor's Parcels Numbers: 097-050-073; 097-050-088; 097-050-089; 097-050-091; 097-050-092; 097-060-016; 097-060-020; 097-060-023; 097-060-029; 097-060-030; 097-060-031; 097-060-036; 097-060-037; 097-060-038; 097-130-044; and 097-140-003, TRPA File Number/Permit

Number: TREE2023-1582.

### Summary and Staff Recommendation:

The Homewood Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project is a forest health project located on Homewood Mountain Resort in Placer County, CA. Forest health treatments will occur on approximately 346 acres including 252-acres of selected group timber harvest to improve forest stand conditions throughout the property and an additional 94 acres of hazard tree removal around ski runs. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) staff recommends that the Governing Board make the required findings and approve the proposed project.

### **Required Motions:**

In order to approve the proposed project, the TRPA Governing Board must make the following motions, based on the staff summary and evidence in the record:

- 1) A motion to approve the required findings, including a finding of no significant effect; and
- 2) A motion to approve the proposed Homewood Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project, subject to the conditions in the draft permit (see Attachment B).

For the motions to pass, an affirmative vote of at least five members from the State of California and at least nine members of the Board is required.

### **Governing Board Review:**

The TRPA Code, Section 2.2.2.A.1.h., requires Governing Board review and approval of substantial harvest or tree removal plans.

### **Project Description:**

The Homewood Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project will target suppressed, dead, dying, and non-resilient stands of timber to improve forest health. The objective of the project is to promote forest resilience and the desired phenology (species distribution) throughout the area and to bolster public safety by reducing the threat of severe wildfire.

Within the Homewood Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project, there is significant drought mortality of the true fir stands (>40% mortality) due to excessive stocking and over competing. The Lake Tahoe Basin in Placer and El Dorado Counties has been listed as a Zone of Infestation for bark beetles (ca. 2016). This project will release and thin the forest stand for desired shade tolerant conditions and patches, including removal of over competing groups and individuals from the shade intolerant species composition throughout the plan area. The Tahoe Fire and Fuels Team (TFFT) identified the west shore of Lake Tahoe as a high priority area for fuels reduction and forest health projects. This project will complement multiple other forest health projects planned or occurring on adjacent public lands in the area.

No tree removal will occur in the area of the proposed gondola or mid-mountain and base facilities associated with the proposed amendments to the Homewood Mountain Resort Master Plan. Tree removal in those areas must undergo a separate permitting process.

### **Site Description:**

The project site is located within Homewood Mountain Resort on the West Shore of Lake Tahoe.

### **Environmental Review:**

A TPRA Initial <u>Environmental Checklist (IEC)</u> was completed for this project and a determination of no significant impacts with mitigation was found.

### Scenic Quality:

Timber operations will be visible temporarily in the area. The public will mostly view the project area from a moving vehicle traveling along the public roads. The following characteristics of the project lessen the visual impact: 1) The visual impact of the harvest will be low due to the use of uneven-aged or intermediate treatment silviculture, which will result in much of the vegetation remaining post-harvest in the area retaining a forested appearance; and, 2) The project occurs in an area where forest management and thinning is common on both private and public lands. There will be no impacts to scenic thresholds as all activities and impacts are temporary.

### Tree Removal Plan:

The Timber Harvest Plan (THP) for the Homewood Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project was prepared by a Registered Professional Forester in conformance with the Z'berg-Nejedly Forest Practice Act of 1973 (FPA) and the Forest Practice Rules adopted by the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (FPR). The THP identifies potential environmental impacts and imposes mitigation measures to substantially lessen or avoid those impacts. (Ibid.) The THP has been reviewed by the Cal. Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, which determined that the THP will not result in any significant unmitigated environmental impacts. Documents relating to the THP are available on the Lake Tahoe Info Tracker here.

### Land Coverage:

This project will not create new land coverage and all ground disturbance will be temporary. There are no new roads proposed with this project. Soil stabilization at significant landings may include mulching, wood chip coverage, silt fencing and straw wattles during construction and use. Construction of landings will only be during dry season and no construction or timber harvesting will occur on saturated soils.

### Water Quality:

All watercourse and stream environment zones within the project area will be protected with a defined lineal flagging buffer of no less than 25-feet, and no crossings will occur on Class I or II streams outside of permanent crossings. Class I water course lake protection zones (WLPZ), Class III equipment limitation zones (ELZ), and Springs equipment exclusion zones (EEZ) are marked with Blue and White Flagging. No Timber Operations will occur within Class I WLPZ. No equipment will be run in Springs EEZ. All trees will be directionally felled away from springs and cable yarded. All vegetation in Springs EEZ will be retained and soil disturbance will be minimized.

### <u>Defensible Space and Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone:</u>

The entire project area and all state responsibility areas (SRA) land in the assessment area is mapped as very high fire hazard severity zoning as mapped by CAL FIRE. The area to the east, and North-South along the CA-89 West Lake Blvd transportation corridor serving the project area is densely populated along the Lake Tahoe shoreline, with limited egress, primarily served by CA-89 West Lake Blvd toward routes out of the Tahoe Basin. Local housing and vacation rental properties along CA-89 West Lake Boulevard, Sacramento Ave, and Sans Souci Blvd constitute this most populated area near the project's eastern boundary. Adjacent lands North, West, and South of the project area are predominately National Forest System (NFS).

Implementation of this project will improve forest health and defensible space by regulating stand density and thus decreasing the horizontal continuity of fuels. Additionally, a decrease in tree competition will reduce mortality in the project area and thus reduce surface fuel loadings. Implementation of the project will also facilitate active management of the area into the future, which will allow for maintenance and expansion of previously established fuel breaks.

### **Regional Plan Compliance:**

The proposed project is consistent with the Regional Plan; and will advance goals and policies of the Conservation/Vegetation sub-element:

- GOAL VEG-1 PROVIDE FOR A WIDE MIX AND INCREASED DIVERSITY OF PLANT COMMUNITIES IN THE TAHOE REGION.
- POLICY VEG-1.1. FOREST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES SHALL BE ALLOWED WHEN CONSISTENT WITH ACCEPTABLE STRATEGIES FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF FOREST HEALTH AND DIVERSITY, PREVENTION OF WILDFIRE, PROTECTION OF WATER QUALITY, AND ENHANCEMENT OF WILDLIFE HABITATS.

### Other Agency Reviews:

The timber harvest plan has been approved by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (THP No. 2-23-00114-PLA).

<u>Contact Information</u>: For questions regarding this agenda item, please contact Kat McIntyre, Environmental Improvement Program Department Manager at 775-589-5268 or <a href="mailto:kmcintyre@trpa.gov">kmcintyre@trpa.gov</a>. To submit a written public comment, email publiccomment@trpa.gov with the appropriate agenda item in the subject line. Written comments received by 4 p.m. the day before a scheduled public meeting will be distributed and posted to the TRPA website before the meeting begins. TRPA does not guarantee

written comments received after 4 p.m. the day before a meeting will be distributed and posted in time for the meeting.

# Attachments:

- A. Required Findings/Rationale
- B. Draft Permit
- C. Initial Environmental Checklist
- D. V(g) Findings

# Attachment A

Required Findings/Rationale



Contact Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 trpa.gov



### Attachment A

### Required Findings/Rationale

<u>Required Findings</u>: The following is a list of the required findings as set forth in Chapters 3, 4, 30 and 61 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances. Following each finding, Agency staff has indicated if there is sufficient evidence contained in the record to make the applicable findings or has briefly summarized the evidence on which the finding can be made.

### 1. Chapter 3 – Required Findings:

<u>Based on the information submitted in the IEC, and other information know to TRPA, TRPA shall make</u> one of the following findings and take the identified action:

- (a) The proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and a finding of no Significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with the Rules of Procedure, Section 6.6;
- (b) The proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment but, due to the mitigation measures that have been added to the project, the project could have no significant effect on the environment and a finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with Rules of Procedure Section 6.7; or
- (c) The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment and an environmental impact statement shall be pared in accordance with Chapter 3 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances and the Rules of Procedure, Article 6.

Based on the information provided in this staff report, the project application, the Initial Environmental Checklist (IEC), and Article V(g) Findings Checklist, there is sufficient evidence demonstrating that the proposed project, with the proposed conditions and mitigation measures outlined in the THP, will not have a significant effect on the environment and a finding of no significant effect shall be prepared.

### 2. <u>Chapter 4 – Required Findings</u>:

(a) The project is consistent with and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan, including all applicable Goals and Policies, Plan Area Statements and maps, the Code and other TRPA plans and programs.

Based on the information provided in this staff report, the project application, the Initial Environmental Checklist (IEC), and Article V(g) Findings Checklist, there is sufficient evidence demonstrating that the proposed project is consistent with and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan, including all applicable Goals and Policies, the TRPA Code and other TRPA plans and programs.

(b) The project will not cause the environmental threshold carrying capacities to be exceeded.

TRPA staff has completed the "Article V(g) Findings" in accordance with Chapter 4, Subsection 4.3 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances. All responses contained on said checklist indicate compliance with the environmental threshold carrying capacities. The applicant also completed an IEC. No significant environmental impacts were identified, and staff has concluded that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

(c) Wherever federal, state or local air and water quality standards applicable for the Region, whichever are strictest, must be attained and maintained pursuant to Article V(g) of the TPRA Compact, the project meets or exceeds such standards.

### 3. Chapter 61: Vegetation and Forest Health

(a) Tree Removal: Before tree-related projects and activities are approved by TRPA, TRPA shall find, based on a report from a qualified forester, that the project or activity is consistent with this chapter and the Code. TRPA may delegate permit issuance to a federal, state, or other qualified agency through a memorandum of understanding.

The State-approved timber harvest plan was prepared by a registered forester and approved by the California Department of Forestry. The project is consistent with the TRPA Code of Ordinances including Chapter 61 standards for tree removal, vegetation protection, and revegetation. See additional information in the Tree Removal section of the staff report.

Attachment B

**Draft Permit** 



Contact Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 trpa.qov



### **DRAFT PERMIT**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Timber Harvest Plan/Substantial	Tree Removal at Homewood Mountain Resort
<u>APN</u> : 097-050-073	FILE: TREE2023-1582	PERMITTEE: Homewood Mountain Resort

COUNTY/LOCATION: Placer/Homewood Mountain Resort

Timber Harvest Plan # 2-23-00114-PLA

Having made the findings required by Agency ordinances and rules, TRPA approved the project on June 26, 2024, subject to the standard conditions of approval attached hereto (Attachment Q) and the special conditions found in this permit.

This permit shall expire on June 26, 2027, without further notice unless the construction has commenced prior to this date and diligently pursued thereafter. Diligent pursuit is defined as completion of the project within the approved construction schedule. The expiration date shall not be extended unless the project is determined by TRPA to be the subject of legal action which delayed or rendered impossible the diligent pursuit of the permit.

NO CONSTRUCTION OR GRADING SHALL COMMENCE UNTIL:

TRPA Executive Director/Designee

- (1) TRPA RECEIVES A COPY OF THIS PERMIT UPON WHICH THE PERMITTEE, OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE, HAS ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT OF THE PERMIT AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONTENTS OF THE PERMIT;
- (2) ALL PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ARE SATISFIED AS EVIDENCED BY TRPA'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THIS PERMIT;
- (3) THE PERMITTEE OBTAINS A COUNTY BUILDING PERMIT, IF NECESSARY. TRPA'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IS NECESSARY TO OBTAIN A COUNTY BUILDING PERMIT. THE COUNTY PERMIT AND THE TRPA PERMIT ARE INDEPENDENT OF EACH OTHER AND MAY HAVE DIFFERENT EXPIRATION DATES AND RULES REGARDING EXTENSIONS; AND
- (4) A TRPA PRE-GRADING INSPECTION HAS BEEN CONDUCTED WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER AND/OR THE CONTRACTOR.

Date

understand that if the property is sold, I remain li	nployees' compliance with the permit conditions. I also able for the permit conditions until or unless the new
understand that certain mitigation fees associate	nd notifies TRPA in writing of such acceptance. I also d with this permit are non-refundable once paid to to to obtain any and all required approvals from any
other state, local or federal agencies that may ha listed in this permit.	ve jurisdiction over this project whether or not they are
Signature of Permittee	Date



Contact Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 trpa.qov



# APN: 097-050-073 FILE NO. TREE2023-1582

Required plans determined to be in conform	ance with approval: Date:
TRPA ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The permittee this date and is eligible for a county building	has complied with all pre-construction conditions of approval as or permit:
TRPA Executive Director/Designee	Date

### **SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

- 1. This permit authorizes substantial tree removal to implement the Homewood Mountain Resort Timber Harvest Plan for fuels reduction, wildfire risk mitigation, and forest health. The Timber Harvest Plan was approved by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection and authorizes 346 acres including 252 acres of selected group timber harvest to improve forest conditions and an additional 94 acres for hazard tree removal around current ski runs. Timber harvesting is planned to begin in 2024.
- 2. The Standard Conditions of Approval listed in Attachment Q shall apply to this permit.
- 3. Prior to permit acknowledgement the permittee shall submit a spill prevention and control plan for TRPA review and approval.
- 4. An on-site inspection by TRPA staff is required prior to any construction or grading activity. TRPA staff shall determine if the on-site improvements required by Attachment Q (Standard Conditions of Approval) have been properly installed. No grading or construction shall commence until TRPA pre-grade conditions of approval are met.
- 5. Prior to the first-pre-grade inspection submit a construction schedule.
- 6. Operating on steep slopes (30% 50%) shall comply with the TRPA Code of Ordinance section 61.1.6 and the Timber Harvest Plan.
- 7. The project shall implement and comply with the Timber Harvest Plan.
- 8. If timber harvesting occurs outside of the grading season (October 15 May 1) the applicant shall submit a winter operating plan to TRPA for review and approval.
- 9. This permit does not authorize any new roads. Any existing logging roads and landings utilized will be upgraded to meet water quality standards including being hydrologically disconnected from watercourse and lakes to the extent feasible.

Contact Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 trpa.qov



- 10. All mitigation identified in the Timber Harvest Plan shall be implemented prior to the close out of the permit.
- 11. If any potential cultural or historical artifacts are found in addition to those in the Timber Harvest Plan, work shall stop and the State Historic Preservation Office contacted.
- 12. No trees larger than 30" dbh shall be removed unless authorized in the Timber Harvest Plan and approved by TRPA.
- 13. No trees are permitted for removal in relation to future Homewood Mountain Resort expansion and upgrades including but not limited to the gondola, lodges, and resort infrastructure.
- 14. The project is exempt from the TRPA noise standards between the hours of 8:00 am 6:30 pm.
- 15. This approval is based on the Permittee's representation that all plans and information contained in the subject application are true and correct. Should any information or representation submitted in connection with the project application be incorrect or untrue, TRPA may rescind this approval, or take other appropriate action.
- 16. To the maximum extent allowable by law, the permittee agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless TRPA, its Governing Board, its Planning Commission, its agents, and its employees (collectively, TRPA) from and against any and all suits, losses, damages, injuries, liabilities, and claims by any person (a) for any injury (including death) or damage to person or property or (b) to set aside, attack, void, modify, amend, or annul any actions of TRPA. The foregoing indemnity obligation applies, without limitation, to any and all suits, losses, damages, injuries, liabilities, and claims by any person from any cause whatsoever arising out of or in connection with either directly or indirectly, and in whole or in part (1) the processing, conditioning, issuance, or implementation of this permit; (2) any failure to comply with all applicable laws and regulations; or (3) the design, installation, or operation of any improvements, regardless of whether the actions or omissions are alleged to be caused by TRPA or permittee.

Included within the permittee's indemnity obligation set forth herein, the permittee agrees to pay all fees of TRPA's attorneys and all other costs and expenses of defenses as they are incurred, including reimbursement of TRPA as necessary for any and all costs and/or fees incurred by TRPA for actions arising directly or indirectly from issuance or implementation of this permit. The permittee shall also pay all costs, including attorneys' fees, incurred by TRPA to enforce this indemnification agreement. If any judgment is rendered against TRPA in any action subject to this indemnification, the permittee shall, at its expense, satisfy and discharge the same.

**END OF PERMIT** 

### Attachment C

**Initial Environmental Checklist** 



### Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

### Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Project Name:	Homewood Mountain Timber Harvesting Plan
	Please see project description for complete list of APNs. All at Homewood Mountain Resort.
County/City: Placer C	County

# **Project Description:**

The project is a Timber Harvesting Plan (THP) (No. 2-23-00114-PLA). The THP covers 252 acres of selective timber harvesting to improve forest stand conditions, and 94 acres of land devoted to ski runs. The selection tree removal will target the removal of suppressed, dead, dying, and non-resilient stands of timber for forest health and risk reduction of catastrophic wildfire. The objective of the timber harvesting is to improve the remnant stands of forest and timber to a healthy and desirable physiological condition promoting forest resilience and the desired phenology throughout the area and to bolster public safety from severe wildfire.

The THP area includes the following APNs: 097-050-073; 097-050-088; 097-050-089; 097-050-091; 097-050-092; 097-060-016; 097-060-020; 097-060-023; 097-060-029; 097-060-030; 097-060-031; 097-060-036; 097-060-037; 097-060-038; 097-130-044; and 097-140-003.

The THP was prepared by a Registered Professional Forester in conformance with the Z'berg-Nejedly Forest Practice Act of 1973 (FPA) and the Forest Practice Rules adopted by the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (FPR). (See 14 CCR 896 [the FPR "are intended to provide the exclusive criteria for reviewing THPs" and this process "substitutes for the EIR process under CEQA"].) The THP identifies potential environmental impacts and imposes mitigation measures to substantially lessen or avoid those impacts. (Ibid.)

The THP has been reviewed by the Cal. Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, which determined that the THP will not result in any significant unmitigated environmental impacts and found that the THP conforms with both the FPA and the FPR. Accordingly, TRPA may find that the THP meets the requirements for tree removal set forth in section 61.1 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances. (TRPA Code, § 61.1.5, subd. (C).)
The complete official record for the THP can be found online at https://caltreesplans.resources.ca.gov/caltrees/

https://caltreesplans.resources.ca.gov/caltrees/Default.aspx by searching for Plan Number 2-23-00114-PLA and viewing the following files: 20231012\_2-23-00114PLA\_Sec1\_App.pdf; 20231012\_2-23-00114PLA\_Sec2\_App.pdf; 20231012\_2-23-00114PLA\_Sec3\_App.pdf; 20231012\_2-23-00114PLA\_Sec4\_App.pdf; 20231012\_2-23-00114PLA\_Sec5\_App.pdf; 20231012\_2-23-00114PLA\_Sec6\_App.pdf.



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

The following questionnaire will be completed by the applicant based on evidence submitted with the application. All "Yes" and "No, With Mitigation" answers will require further written comments. Use the blank boxes to add any additional information and reference the question number and letter. If more space is required for additional information, please attached separate sheets and reference the question number and letter.

For information on the status of TRPA environmental thresholds click on the links to the Threshold Dashboard.

# I. Environmental Impacts

1.	1. Land							
	rrent and historic status of soil conservation standards can be found at the links low:			No, with mitigation	Data insufficient			
	• Impervious Cover			ith m	nsuff			
	Stream Environment Zone	Ş	0	, W	ata ii			
W	ill the proposal result in:	Yes	8	ž	Ď			
a.	Compaction or covering of the soil beyond the limits allowed in the land capability or Individual Parcel Evaluation System (IPES)?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\bigcirc$			
b.	A change in the topography or ground surface relief features of site inconsistent with the natural surrounding conditions?	0	•	0	0			
C.	Unstable soil conditions during or after completion of the proposal?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0			
d.	Changes in the undisturbed soil or native geologic substructures or grading in excess of 5 feet?	0	0	•	0			
e.	The continuation of or increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0			
f.	Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sand, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion, including natural littoral processes, which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?	0	•	0	0			
g.	Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, backshore erosion, avalanches, mud slides, ground failure, or similar hazards?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0			
Di	scussion							
ha So du	d. "Item #18 - Soil Stabilization" of the THP on pages 21 to 24 employs mitigation measures during timber harvesting activities to protect soil and water quality resources.  Soil stabilization at significant landings may include, mulching, wood chip coverage; silt fencing and straw wattles during construction and use. Construction of landings will only be during dry season and no construction or timber harvesting will occur on saturated soils.							
1								



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

_ 2	Air Quality				
	rrent and historic status of air quality standards can be found at the links below:				
	<ul> <li>Carbon Monoxide (CO)</li> <li>Nitrate Deposition</li> <li>Ozone (O3)</li> <li>Regional Visibility</li> <li>Respirable and Fine Particulate Matter</li> <li>Sub-Regional Visibility</li> <li>ill the proposal result in:</li> </ul>	Yes	ON.	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Substantial air pollutant emissions?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	C
b.	Deterioration of ambient (existing) air quality?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	C
c.	The creation of objectionable odors?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	C
d.	Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	C
e.	Increased use of diesel fuel?	$\bigcirc$	0	•	C
Di	scussion				
as	The project will use diesel powered, heavy equipment during timber harvesting activity part of this THP are registered and CARB certified, and meet State of CA clean emissimpliance.				yed



## Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

### Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# 3. Water Quality

**Aquatic Invasive Species** 

Current and historic status of water quality standards can be found at the links below:

Deep Water (Pelagic) Lake Tahoe Groundwater Nearshore (Littoral) Lake Tahoe No, with mitigation Other Lakes Data insufficient **Surface Runoff Tributaries Load Reductions** Will the proposal result in: Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements? b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff so that a 20 yr. 1 hr. storm runoff (approximately 1 inch per hour) cannot be contained on the site? Alterations to the course or flow of 100-yearflood waters? d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body? e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity? Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground water? Change in the quantity of groundwater, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aguifer by cuts or excavations? h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies? i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding and/or wave action from 100-year storm occurrence or seiches?

# Discussion

groundwater quality?

e. "Item #26 - Watercourse Lake Protection Zone (WLPZ) Protection Measures" of the THP provide measures to protect water quality. All watercourse and stream environment zones will be protected with a defined lineal flagging buffer of no less than 25-feet, and no crossings will occur on Class I or II streams outside of permanent crossings.

The potential discharge of contaminants to the groundwater or any alteration of

k. Is the project located within 600 feet of a drinking water source?



## Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# 4. Vegetation

Current and historic status of vegetation preservation standards can be found at the links below:

Wi	<ul> <li>Common Vegetation</li> <li>Late Seral/Old Growth Ecosystems</li> <li>Sensitive Plants</li> <li>Uncommon Plant Communities</li> </ul> II the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
а.	Removal of native vegetation in excess of the area utilized for the actual development permitted by the land capability/IPES system?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0
b.	Removal of riparian vegetation or other vegetation associated with critical wildlife habitat, either through direct removal or indirect lowering of the groundwater table?	0	•	0	0
С.	Introduction of new vegetation that will require excessive fertilizer or water, or will provide a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
d.	Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, micro flora, and aquatic plants)?	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•	0
е.	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare, or endangered species of plants?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
f.	Removal of stream bank and/or backshore vegetation, including woody vegetation such as willows?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
g.	Removal of any native live, dead or dying trees 30 inches or greater in diameter at breast height (dbh) within TRPA's Conservation or Recreation land use classifications?	•	$\bigcirc$	0	0
h.	A change in the natural functioning of an old growth ecosystem?	0	•	0	0
Dic	roussion				

d. As described on page 18 of the THP, there has been extensive mortality of the true fir stands. One intent of this project is to reduce competition of suppressed and successive fir encroachment to the forest stand by removal of a portion of these trees (suppressed fir species) within the stand. The harvest will improve health, vigor and productivity of the retained tree species with definitive stand dynamics based on species physiology.

g. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA); Code of Ordinances Section 61.37.1.A provides protections for trees over 30-inches dbh. All trees indicated for removal over 30-inches dbh meet one of the exceptions for "westside forest types" under these standards. Any trees over 30-inches dbh slated for removal and harvest will be marked by an RPF under the THP.



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

### 5. Wildlife

Current and historic status of special interest species standards can be found at the links below:

Special Interest Species

	rent and historic status of the fisheries standards can be found at the links below:  Instream Flow Lake Habitat Stream Habitat If the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects, mammals, amphibians or microfauna)?	0	0	•	0
b.	Reduction of the number of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$
C.	Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
d.	Deterioration of existing fish or wildlife habitat quantity or quality?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0

### Discussion

a., b. "Items 32-35 Biological Resources" can be found on pages 64-71 of the THP. Surveys for plants and wildlife were conducted. Specific enforceable language has been incorporated into the THP with input from California Department of Fish and Wildlife to ensure protections.

Amphibian Protection Measures: Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog has potential to occur in all Class I and Class II watercourses adjacent the plan boundary. Although the species has not been documented or observed in the downstream reaches of Homewood Creek or canyon or within the plan boundary, there are speculated species occurrence in the upper watershed of Homewood Creek and known occurrences outside of the plan boundary near Lake Louise (headwaters of Madden Creek - Class I watercourse) all located outside of the plan boundary.

Bird Protection Measures: If project-related activities are to be initiated during the nesting season (January 1 to August 31), a pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey shall be conducted no more than three (3) days prior to the start of any ground disturbance, including staging of equipment. If no active nests are detected during the clearance survey, project activities may begin, and no additional avoidance and minimization measures would be required.

If an active nest is found, the bird species shall be identified, and a 300 foot "no-disturbance" buffer shall be established around the active nest. A qualified biologist may determine if a change in that buffer is appropriate based on species and tolerance to disturbance. Once the young have fledged and left the nest, or the nest otherwise becomes inactive under natural conditions, project activities within the "no-disturbance" buffer may occur. All active nest monitoring will be performed by a qualified biologist.

If an active nest is discovered during Timber Operations, the LTO shall cease all work within a 300' buffer and notify the RPF and for additional survey and no disturbance buffers as above.



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# 6. Noise

Cu	<ul> <li>rrent and historic status of the noise standards can be found at the links below:</li> <li>Cumulative Noise Events</li> <li>Single Noise Events</li> </ul>			No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
Wi	ill the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, v	Data
a.	Increases in existing Community Noise Equivalency Levels (CNEL) beyond those permitted in the applicable Area Plan, Plan Area Statement, Community Plan or Master Plan?	0	•	0	0
b.	Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0
c.	Single event noise levels greater than those set forth in the TRPA Noise Environmental Threshold?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0
d.	The placement of residential or tourist accommodation uses in areas where the existing CNEL exceeds 60 dBA or is otherwise incompatible?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
e.	The placement of uses that would generate an incompatible noise level in close proximity to existing residential or tourist accommodation uses?	0	$\bigcirc$	•	0
f.	Exposure of existing structures to levels of ground vibration that could result in structural damage?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	scussion				
sig	nber Operations will contribute intermittent and temporary noise by way of logging equinificant. All timber operations will be conducted during daylight hours and no operation lidays.				



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

7.	Light and Glare			h on	ient
Wi	Il the proposal:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Include new or modified sources of exterior lighting?	0	•	0	0
b.	Create new illumination which is more substantial than other lighting, if any, within the surrounding area?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
c.	Cause light from exterior sources to be cast off -site or onto public lands?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
d.	Create new sources of glare through the siting of the improvements or through the use of reflective materials?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	cussion				
8.	Land Use			h ion	ient
Wi	II the proposal:	Yes	N O	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Include uses which are not listed as permissible uses in the applicable Area Plan, Plan Area Statement, adopted Community Plan, or Master Plan?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
b.	Expand or intensify an existing non-conforming use?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	cussion				



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

9.	Natural Resources			ر on	ent
Wi	ill the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	A substantial increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
b.	Substantial depletion of any non-renewable natural resource?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	scussion				
	though the plan will generate timber from forest byproducts, a natural resource, there is a normal timber harvesting plan.	no incr	ease o	r devia	tion
10	D. Risk of Upset				ent
Wi	ill the proposal:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
b.	Involve possible interference with an emergency evacuation plan?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\bigcirc$
Dis	scussion				



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

11	. Population			h ion	ient
Wi	ill the proposal:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population planned for the Region?	0	•	0	0
b.	Include or result in the temporary or permanent displacement of residents?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0
Dis	scussion				
12	2. Housing			- uc	ent
Wi	Il the proposal:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?				
	To determine if the proposal will affect existing housing or create a demand for additional housing, please answer the following questions:				
	1. Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Tahoe Region?	0	•	0	$\bigcirc$
	2. Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Tahoe Region historically or currently being rented at rates affordable by lower and very-low-income households?	0	•	0	0
Dis	scussion				



# Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

## Contact

	. Transportation / Circulation			th tion	cient
Wil	I the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Generation of 650 or more new average daily Vehicle Miles Travelled?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
b.	Changes to existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
c.	Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems, including highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities?	0	$\bigcirc$	•	0
d.	Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
e.	Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
f.	Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•	0
Dis	cussion				
Add	rist season will adhere to traffic control and safety egress from Tahoe Ski Bowl Way at ditionally, the round trip vehicle miles from the project site to a timber sawmill is 120 milere than four loads of log trucks delivered to the sawmill in one day due to the limit of tru	es (RT	). There		∍ no



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# 14. Public Services

	Il the proposal have an unplanned effect upon, or result in a need for new or ered governmental services in any of the following areas?:	Yes	o N	No, with mitigatior	Data insufficier
a.	Fire protection?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\bigcirc$
b.	Police protection?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\mathbb{C}$
C.	Schools?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\bigcirc$
d.	Parks or other recreational facilities?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	$\mathbb{C}$
e.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\bigcirc$
f.	Other governmental services?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	$\bigcirc$
Dis	cussion				



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

15	5. Energy			- u	ent
Wi	ill the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
b.	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	scussion:				
16					
	cept for planned improvements, will the proposal result in a need for new systems,			ith ation	icient
	substantial alterations to the following utilities:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Power or natural gas?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
b.	Communication systems?	$\bigcirc$	$\odot$	$\bigcirc$	0
c.	Utilize additional water which amount will exceed the maximum permitted capacity of the service provider?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
d.	Utilize additional sewage treatment capacity which amount will exceed the maximum permitted capacity of the sewage treatment provider?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
e.	Storm water drainage?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
f.	Solid waste and disposal?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	scussion				



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

17	7. Human Health			h ion	ient
Wi	ill the proposal result in:	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
b.	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	0
Dis	scussion				
10	2. Carria Basawasa / Cammunitu Dosign				
	B. Scenic Resources / Community Design  Irrent and historic status of the scenic resources standards can be found at the links				
be	<ul> <li>Built Environment</li> <li>Other Areas</li> <li>Roadway and Shoreline Units</li> <li>ill the proposal:</li> </ul>	Yes	NO	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Be visible from any state or federal highway, Pioneer Trail or from Lake Tahoe?	•	0	0	0
b.	Be visible from any public recreation area or TRPA designated bicycle trail?	•	0	0	0
C.	Block or modify an existing view of Lake Tahoe or other scenic vista seen from a public road or other public area?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0
d.	Be inconsistent with the height and design standards required by the applicable ordinance, Community Plan, or Area Plan?	0	•	0	0
e.	Be inconsistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Improvement Program (SQIP) or Design Review Guidelines?	$\bigcirc$	•	0	0
Dis	scussion				
Vis are	sual Resources are addressed on page 108 of the THP. Timber Operations will be visib ea.	le temp	orarily	in the	



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# 19. Recreation

	rrent and historic status of the recreation standards can be found at the links low:			No, with mitigation	cient
	<ul> <li><u>Fair Share Distribution of Recreation Capacity</u></li> <li><u>Quality of Recreation Experience and Access to Recreational Opportunities</u></li> </ul>	۲۵.		, with m	Data insufficient
Wi	ill the proposal:	Yes	N <sub>o</sub>	No	Da
a.	Create additional demand for recreation facilities?	$\bigcirc$	$\odot$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
b.	Create additional recreation capacity?	$\bigcirc$	$\odot$	$\bigcirc$	$\mathbb{C}$
C.	Have the potential to create conflicts between recreation uses, either existing or proposed?	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	$\mathbb{C}$
d.	Result in a decrease or loss of public access to any lake, waterway, or public lands?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	$\mathbb{C}$
Dis	scussion				



# Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

## Contact

20. Archaeological / Historical  Will the proposal result in:  a. An alteration of or adverse physical or aesthetic effect to a significant archaeological or historical site, structure, object or building?  b. Is the proposed project located on a property with any known cultural, historical, and/or archaeological resources, including resources on TRPA or other regulatory official maps or records?  c. Is the property associated with any historically significant events and/or sites or persons?  d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?  e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?  Discussion  b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber harvesting activities.										
<ul> <li>a. An alteration of or adverse physical or aesthetic effect to a significant archaeological or historical site, structure, object or building?</li> <li>b. Is the proposed project located on a property with any known cultural, historical, and/or archaeological resources, including resources on TRPA or other regulatory official maps or records?</li> <li>c. Is the property associated with any historically significant events and/or sites or persons?</li> <li>d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?</li> <li>e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?</li> <li>Discussion</li> <li>b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber</li> </ul>		20. Archaeological / Historical								
or historical site, structure, object or building?  b. Is the proposed project located on a property with any known cultural, historical, and/or archaeological resources, including resources on TRPA or other regulatory official maps or records?  c. Is the property associated with any historically significant events and/or sites or persons?  d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?  e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?  Discussion  b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber			Yes	No	No, v mitig	Data insuf				
and/or archaeological resources, including resources on TRPA or other regulatory official maps or records?  c. Is the property associated with any historically significant events and/or sites or persons?  d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?  e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?  Discussion  b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber	a.		0	•	$\bigcirc$	0				
d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?  e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?  Discussion  b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber	b.	and/or archaeological resources, including resources on TRPA or other regulatory	0	0	•	0				
e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?  Discussion  b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber	c.		$\bigcirc$	•	0	0				
b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber	d.		$\bigcirc$	•	0	0				
b. Extensive Cultural Resource surveys and review has taken place as part of the preparation of the Timber Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber	e.		$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	0				
Harvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record searches and ground surveys. The THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The THP also includes measures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and protection measures have been reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placement of visual flagging exclusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are identified during timber	Dis	cussion								
	Ha The me bee	rvesting Plan. There has been notification made to local tribes, historical record search e THP process keeps Cultural Resource findings confidential to protect resources. The asures for protection of unknown resources if found. Review of the resources and prote en reviewed and incorporated into the THP. The protection measures include the placer clusion boundary and the notification to the State Archaeologist if cultural resources are	es and THP a ection i nent of	groun Ilso inc measu f visual	d surve ludes res hav flaggir	re Ig				



# Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

## Contact

21	Findings of Significance	Yes	No	No, with mitigation	Data insufficient
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California or Nevada history or prehistory?	0	•	0	С
b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time, while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	0	•	0	0
C.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environmental is significant?)	0	•	0	С
d.	Does the project have environmental impacts which will cause substantial adverse effects on human being, either directly or indirectly?	0	•	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Dis	scussion				
cur ain	e project has individually limited impacts that will be mitigated throughout timber harves nulatively no significant impact due to the enhanced stewardship nature of the project and it is to improve ecosystem health, increase forest resiliency to pests and extreme wildfire polic safety in Homewood.	ıs a wh	ole. Th	ie proje	
Ì					



Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449 Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

## **DECLARATION:**

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature: Jacqueline Br	Digitally signed by Date: 2023.10.27	Jacqueline Braver I1:21:39 -07'00'	
	at Placer County		
Person preparing application	County	Date	
		,	
Applicant Written Comments: (Atta	ach additional sheets if necessa	ary)	



# Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

# Contact

Phone: 775-588-4547 Fax: 775-588-4527 www.trpa.gov

# **Determination:**

On	tho	hacic	of this	OVA	luation:
On	TNE	nasis	OT THIS	eva	luation:

On	the basis of this evaluation:		
a.	The proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and a finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules of Procedure	YES	NO
b.	The proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, but due to the listed mitigation measures which have been added to the project, could have no significant effect on the environment and a mitigated finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules and Procedures.	<b>√</b> YES	NO NO
c.	The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment and an environmental impact statement shall be prepared in accordance with this chapter and TRPA's Rules of Procedures.	YES	NO NO
E	Bruce Barr Digitally signed by Bruce Barr Date: 2024.05.31 07:14:43 -07'00'		
	Signature of Evaluator		
Т	RPA Forester		
	Title of Evaluator		

Attachment D

V(g) Findings

# PROJECT REVIEW CONFORMANCE CHECKLIST & V (g) FINDINGS

(Commercial/Tourist Accommodation/Public Service/Recreation/Resource Mngt.)

Proje	ct Nar	ne: Homewood Mountain Fuels Reduction/Forest Health Project		
Proje	ct Typ	e: EIP Forest Health/Fuels Reduction		
APN	/ Proj	ect Number: See Initial Environmental Checklist for list of APN's		
Proje	ct Rev	riew Planner: Bruce Barr Date of Review: 6/7/24		
justif If the maki these	e answ ng sai	the answer to question b. on any of the following questions is <i>no</i> , property of the following questions is <i>no</i> , property of the following required in subsections 4.4. For the question b. is yes or if no answer is required, this checklist shall see the difficulty of the project on the thresholds that have ions should also be noted.	1 and 4.4. erve as jus	2 of the code tifications for
CAT	EGO	RY: AIR QUALITY		
THR	ESHO	LD: CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) INDICATOR: (CO) 8-hr. av	g. Statelin	e CA station
1.	a. b.	Does the project generate new vehicle trips? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.2.4.B.1?	Y	N ⊠ N □
2.	a. b.	Does the project create new points of vehicular access? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 34.3.2?	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project include combustion appliances? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.4?	Y	N ⊠ N □
4.	a. b.	Does the project include a new stationary source of CO? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?	Y	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: OZONE INDICATOR: Ozone, 1-hr. avg.	Lk. Tahoo	e Blvd station
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase regional VMT? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.2.4?	Y	N ⊠ N □
2.	a. b.	Does the project include new gas/oil space/water heaters? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.4?	Y	N ⊠ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project include a new stationary source of NO <sup>2</sup> ? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?	Y	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: PARTICULATE MATTER INDICATOR: Part. Matter, 24-hr. avg.	Lk. Tahoe	e Blvd station
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase airborne dust emissions? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.3?	Y	N ⊠ N □
2.	a. b	Does the project include a new stationary source of particulate matter?  If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □

3.	a.	Refer to question 1, Ozone, above	ve.		
THR	ESHO	LD: VISIBILITY	INDICATOR: miles of visibility	y, veg and subr	egional path
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1-3, Particulat	e Matter, above.		
		LD: TRAFFIC VOLUME RRIDOR, WINTER, 4pm-12am	INDICATOR: traffic	volume, US 50 JanMar. avg.	
1.	a.	Refer to question 1, CO, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: NO <sup>2</sup> EMISSIONS		INDICA	ATOR: VMT
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1-2, VMT, be	low.		
THR	ESHO	LD: WOOD SMOKE	INDICATO	OR: number of	wood heaters
1.	a. b.	Does the project include any new If yes, is the project consistent w		Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: VMT	INDICATOR: changes in number	r of trips and av	vg. trip length
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase average If yes, is the project consistent w			N ⊠ N □
2.	a.	refer to question 1, CO, above.			
CAT	EGO	RY: WATER QUALITY			
THR	ESHO	LD: TURBIDITY	INDICATOR:	turbidity of indi	icator stations
1.	a.	Does the project increase imperv soil disturbance?	ious coverage or create permanent	Y 🗌	N ⊠
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent w	ith Subsection 60.2.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗌
2.	a. b.	Does the project create temporar If yes, is the project consistent w		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project require the use of If yes, is the project consistent w		Y	N ⊠ N □
4.	a.	Does the project include domesti or groundwater?	c wastewater discharge to the surfa	ce Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent w	ith Subsection 60.1.3.B?	Y 🗌	N 🗌
5.	a. b.	Does the project disturb or encro If yes, is the project consistent w		Y	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: CLARITY, WINTER (IN LA			
			INDICATOR: secch depth, Dec	mar. avg. TRG	index station

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

### THRESHOLD: PHYTOPLANKTON PRIMARY PRODUCTIVITY (IN LAKE)

INDICATOR: phyto, primary productivity, ann. Avg., TRG index station

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

### THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, SURFACE RUNOFF

INDICATOR: DIN x discharge, tributary network annual total 1

1. a. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3 and 5, turbidity, above.

### THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, GROUNDWATER

INDICATOR: DIN x discharge, grndwtr. Network, annual total

1. a. Refer to questions 2 & 3, turbidity, above.

### THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, ATMOSPHERIC

INDICATOR: NO3 + HNO, annual avg. Lake Tahoe Blvd station

1. a. Refer to question 4, turbidity, above.

## THRESHOLD: NUTRIENT LOADS, GENERAL

INDICATOR: sol. P x discharge sol. Fe x

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

## THRESHOLD: TOTAL N, P, Fe, (trib.) CA ONLY

INDICATOR: single reading, tributary network

1. a. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3, and 5, turbidity, above.

### THRESHOLD: DIN; SOL, P, Fe, SS (trib.) NV ONLY

INDICATOR: single reading tributary network

1. a. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3 and 5, turbidity, above.

# THRESHOLD: DIN, SOL, P, Fe, SS, GREASE/OIL DISCHARGED TO SURFACE WATER FROM INDICATOR: single reading runoff sites

1.	a.	Does the project route impervious surface runoff directly into Lake Tahoe	$Y \sqcup N \boxtimes$
		or a major tributary?	
		YC 1 4 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	XZ D NI D

- b. If yes, is the discharge structure consistent with BMP handbook?  $Y \sqcup N \sqcup$
- 2. a. Does the project create large impervious areas (e.g. parking lots) Y □ N ⋈ which may serve as a source of airborne pollutants, grease or oil?
  - b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3, 60.4.6, 60.4.9? Y  $\square$  N  $\square$

# THRESHOLD: TOTAL N, TOTAL P, TOTAL Fe TURBIDITY, GREASE/OIL DISCHARGE TO GRDWTR FROM RUNOFF INDICATOR: single reading runoff site

a. Does the project include infiltration devices to infiltrate impervious
 Y □ N ⋈

- surface runoff directly underground?

  b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.6?

  Y N
  - 5. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.6?

# **CATEGORY: SOIL CONSERVATION**

THESHOLD: IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE			NDICATOR: area or coverage			
1.	a. b.	Does the project include new or relocated coverage? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 30.4, 30.5, 30.6?		Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □	
THRESHOLD: NATURALLY-FUNCTIONING SEZ INDICATOR: area of					area of SEZ	
1.	a. b.	Does the project disturb or encroach on a naturally-functioning SE If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 30.5?	EZ?	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □	
CAT	EGOI	RY: VEGETATION				
THRE	ESHO!	LD: PLANT & STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY INDICATO	R: plant &	z structu	ral diversity	
1.	a. b.	Does the project create a change in diversity?  If yes, does the project include vegetation management techniques to increase diversity (reveg., thinning)?	S	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □	
THRE	ESHO	LD: MEADOW & RIPARIAN VEGETATION INDICATOR: ar	ea of mea	dow & 1	riparian veg.	
1.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.				
THRE	ESHO	LD: DECIDUOUS RIPARIAN VEGETATION INDICATO	OR: area of	f riparia	n vegetation	
1.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.				
THRE	ESHO	LD: SHRUB ASSOCIATION INDICAT	OR: area	of shrub	association	
1.	a.	Does the project create an increase in the areal extent of the shrub		Y 🗌	N 🗵	
	b.	association?  If yes, has the additional area been calculated, and a determination made that the total area is less than or equal to 25%?	n been	Y 🗌	N 🗌	
THRESHOLD: YELLOW PINE ASSOCIATION (not mature) INDICATOR: area of yellow pine assoc.						
1.	a.	Does the project create a change in the areal extent of the immature	e yellow	Y 🗌	N 🗵	
	b.	pine association?  If yes, has the additional area been calculated, and a determination that the total area in the Region is between 15 and 25%?	n made	Y 🗌	N 🗌	
THRE	THRESHOLD: RED FIR ASSOCIATION INDICATOR: area of red fir assoc.					
1.	a.	Does the project create a change in the areal extent of the immature	e red fir	Y 🗌	N 🗵	
	b.	association?  If yes, has the additional are been calculated, and a determination that the total area in the Region is between 15 and 25%?	made	Y 🗌	N 🗌	
THRE	ESHO	LD: FOREST OPENINGS INDICATOR: size a	nd location	n of fore	est openings	
1.	a. b.	Does the project create new forest openings? If yes, is the new opening less than 8 acres?		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □	

2.	a. b.	Does the project create new forest openings adjacent to other open If yes, are the resultant adjacent openings not of the same relative class or successional stage?			N 🗆
THRE	SHO	LD: UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITITES	INDIC.	ATOR: h	abitat sites
1.	a.	Will the project impact the habitats for the deepwater sphagnum bog, Osgood Swamp, or the Freel Peak Cushing Plant Community?			N 🗵
	b.	hese	Y 🔲 1	N 🗌	
THRE	SHO	LD: SENSITIVE VEGETATION INDICA	ATOR: nur	mber of h	abitat sites
1.	a.	Will the project impact the habitats of the <u>Carex paucifructus</u> , the <u>pyomaea longipetala</u> , the <u>Draba asterophora v.</u> , or the <u>Rorippa subumbellata?</u>	Lewis	Y 🔲 ]	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect to plant communities?	hese	Y 🔲 1	N 🗆
CATE	CGOR	RY: WILDLIFE			
THRE	SHO	LD: SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES INDICA	ATOR: nu	mber of h	abitat sites
1.	a.	Will the project result in the loss, modification or increased disturbly of habitat site for goshawk, osprey, bald eagle, (winter and nesting eagle, peregrine falcon, waterfowl, or deer, as mapped on official maps?	g), golden	Y 🔲 1	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect thabitat sites?	hese	Y 🔲 1	N 🗆
CATE	GOR	RY: FISHERIES			
THRE	SHO	LD: EXCELLENT STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: si	ites of exce	ellent stre	am habitat
1.	a.	Does the project include stream channelization, stream dredging, r of rock or gravel from a stream, culverts, bridges, or water diversi affecting a stream identified as fish habitat?		Y 🔲 1	N 🖂
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to offset im stream habitat and contribute to the upgrading of stream habitat?	ipacts on	Y 🔲 1	N 🗌
2.	a.	Will the project result in siltation, urban runoff, snow disposal, or may affect water quality in a stream identified as fish habitat?	litter that	Y 🔲 1	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6	?	Y 🔲 ]	N 🗌
THRE	SHOI	LD: GOOD STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR	R: miles of	good stre	am habitat
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1 and 2, above.			
THRE	SHO	LD: MARGIANL STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: mi	les of mar	ginal stre	am habitat
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1 and 2, above.			

THK	ESHO	LD: INSTREAM FLOWS	NDICATOR:	ıncre	ease flows
1.	a. b.	Does the project include new water diversions? If yes, is there evidence in the record to indicate that flows will remay within adopted TRPA standards or, in the absence of adopted standards that flows will not be diminished?		] N	1 □ 1 ⊠
2.	a.	Does the project include new coverage or disturbance that could conto uncontrolled runoff reaching a stream identified as fish habitat?	tribute Y	N	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Υ	N	1 🗌
3.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: LAKE HABITAT INDICATO	OR: area of ex	celle	ent habitat
1.	a.	Does the project include development in the shorezone, removal of r gravel from the lake, or removal of vegetation in the shorezone?	rock or Y	N	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Chapters 80-86?	Υ	N	1 🗆
2.	a.	Does the project increase the potential for siltation, runoff, or erosion entering Lake Tahoe?	n Y [	N	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Υ	N	N 🗌
		RY: NOISE  LD: SINGLE EVENT, AIRCRAFT, DAYTIME  INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, TRPA ref. poin	nts, 8am-8pm,	sing	le reading
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve the commercial or private operation of aircraft yes, does the project comply with the Interim Service Agreement affecting aircraft operations at the South Lake Tahoe Airport, or will the project meet the TRPA noise thresholds, or is the project exempt Code section 68.9?	Y [		1 □ 1 ⊠
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE EVENT, AIRCRAFT, NIGHTTIME  INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, TRPA ref. poin	uts, 8am-8pm,	sing	le reading
1.	a.	Refer to question 1, single event, aircraft, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, BOATS INDICATOR: dBA, LM	AX, at 50 ft.,	sing	le reading
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve a marina or boat launching facility? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y [ Y [	] N	
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTOR VEHICLE LESS THAN 6,000 LBS INDICATOR: dBA, LM		sing	le reading
1.	a.	Does the project include the operation of fleet vehicles or other commercial vehicles?	Y	N	N 🖂
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Υ	N	۷ 🔲

# $\frac{\text{THRESHOLD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTOR VEHICLE GREATER THAN 6,000 LBS. CVM}}{\text{INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at 50 ft., single reading}}$

1.	a.	Refer to question 1, single event, motor vehicle, above.				
THRE	SHOI	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTORCYCLE INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at	50 ft., sir	igle reading		
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of motorcycles for lease or rent or the operation of a motorcycle course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗌		
THRE	SHOI	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, ORVS INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at	50 ft., sir	ngle reading		
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of ORVs for rent or lease or the operation of an ORV course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗌		
THES	HOLI	D: SINGLE-EVENT, SNOWMOBILES INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at	50 ft., sir	igle reading		
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of snowmobiles for rent or lease or the operation of a snowmobile course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗌		
THRE	SHOI	LD: COMMUNITY NOISE EQUIVALENT LEVEL (CNEL)				
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve the creation of a new or relocated land use? If yes, is the project consistent with the applicable plan area statement?	Y	N ⊠ N □		
2.	a.	Is the project located within a transportation corridor as mapped on TRPA maps?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, does the project include components to reduce the transmission of noise from the corridor, in accordance with the TRPA Design Review Guidelines?	Y 🗌	N 🗌		
3.	a.	Does the project involve a use or activity for which TRPA has received a CNEL related noise complaint and for which TRPA has required remediated action in accordance with Chapter 68?	Y 🗆	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with the remedial action plan?	Y 🗌	N 🗌		
CATE	GOR	RY: SCENIC RESOURCES				
THRE	SHOI	LD: ROADWAY AND SHORELINE RATINGS				
1.	a.	Is the project located within, or visible from, a roadway or shoreline unit	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	targeted for scenic upgrading? If yes, is the project consistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Implementation Program (SQUIP)?	Y 🗌	N 🗌		
2.	a.	Is the project located within, or visible from, a roadway or shoreline unit not targeted for scenic upgrading?	Y 🗵	N 🗌		
	b.	If yes, is there evidence in the record that the project will not cause a significant decrease in scenic quality, and is the project consistent with the TRPA Design Review Guidelines?	Y 🗵	N 🗌		

# **CATEGORY: RECREATION**

# THRESHOLD: PRESERVE AND ENHANCE THE HIGH QUALITY RECREATION EXPERIENCE

			INDICATOR	: dispersed r	ec. capacity				
1.	<ul><li>a. Is the project le</li><li>b. If yes, is the project le</li></ul>	Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □						
THR	ESHOLD: ESTABLIS	H FAIR SHARE OF CAPACITY FOR C	OUTDOOR REC	REATION					
	AILABLE TO THE GE				OR: PAOTs				
1.		ct require an allocation of PAOTs? creational opportunity involved available	to the public?	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □				
CAT	TEGORY: CODE/RU	LES OF PROCEDURE REQUIREME	NTS						
1.	Does the project rec	quire Governing Board Review (Chapter 2	2)?	Y 🗵	N 🗌				
5.	2 0	Does the project require notice to adjacent property owners (Art. XII Rules of Procedure)?							
6.	Is the project consis	Is the project consistent with the following:							
	Chapter 2 Chapter 6 Chapter 21 Chapter 22 Chapter 30 Chapter 31 Chapter 32 Chapter 33.3 Chapter 33.4 Chapter 33.5 Chapter 33.6 Chapter 34	(Project Review) (Tracking-Data Sheets/Log Book) (Permissible Uses) (Temporary Uses) (Coverage) (Density) (Basic Service) (Grading) (Special Reports) (Construction Schedule) (Vegetation Protection) (Driveways) (Parking)	N/A	Y					
	Chapter 34 Chapter 35 Chapter 36 Chapter 37 Chapter 38 Chapter 50 Chapter 51 Chapter 52 Chapter 53 Chapter 60 Chapter 60.1 Chapter 60.2 Chapter 61.1 Chapter 61.3.6 Chapter 61.4 Chapter 62 Chapter 63 Chapter 63 Chapter 65.1 Chapter 65.2 Chapter 67	(Parking) (Natural Hazards-Floodplain) (Design Standards) (Height) (Signs) (Allocations) (Transfers) (Bonus Units-MFD only) (IPES) (BMP's) (Water Quality) (Water Quality Mitigation) (Tree Removal) (Sensitive Plants/Fire Hazard) (Revegetation) (Wildlife) (Fish) (Air Quality) (Traffic/Air Quality Mitigation) (Historic Resource)	N/A         N/A           N/A         N/A	Y					