

---

**INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST  
FOR DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

---

**Project Name:**

**APN/Project Location:**

**County/City:**

**Project Description:**

1. Proposed Amendments to Code Section 84.3.3.E.3 (Existing Buoys)  
The TRPA Governing Board approved the Shoreline Plan in October 2018. The plan supports boating, paddling, swimming, and other water-based recreation, while also ensuring effective natural resource management for continued attainment of environmental goals in the Lake Tahoe Region. The Shoreline Plan included implementation measures in the TRPA Code of Ordinances Chapter 84 including the authorization of preexisting buoy fields, prohibition on new buoy fields, and standards for private mooring buoys. The permit review process for shoreline projects is detailed in Chapter 2 of the Code.  
While the 2018 Shoreline Plan explicitly prohibited new buoy fields, buoy fields existing legally prior to the amendment were allowed to remain. The 2018 code amendments include specific provisions to allow homeowner association's (HOA) to maintain buoy fields located offshore of littoral parcels that existed prior to 2018. However, the Code does not specifically address HOA buoy fields that service non-littoral parcels without an associated littoral parcel. TRPA staff have received seven applications to permit existing non-littoral HOA buoy fields, all of which are located in California.  
The Shoreline Implementation Committee and TRPA staff view the omitted authorization of pre-existing non-littoral HOA buoy fields during the 2018 adoption of the TRPA Shoreline Plan and associated code amendments as an oversight requiring correction. The proposed amendment to section 84.3.3.E.3 will expressly allow littoral and non-littoral buoy fields for HOAs possessing a valid permit issued by a federal or state agency with appropriate jurisdiction prior to September 1, 2018. It does not affect the prohibition on new buoy fields (84.3.3.E.1), place further limits on a littoral property owner's ability to obtain TRPA approval for private mooring buoys (84.3.3.D), or allow non-littoral HOA buoy fields to expand beyond what was authorized in the permit.

2. Proposed Amendments to Code Section 2.2.2.F.2.b (New Structures)  
Amendments to Section 2.2.2.F.2.b address the review and approval process for eligible private, single-family buoys. Section 2.2.2.F.2 requires Hearings Officer review and approval for all new structures within the shorezone including the relatively routine approval of new mooring buoys for eligible private, single-family littoral parcels.  
Unless otherwise stated, private, single-family littoral parcels are allowed two mooring buoys (84.3.2.A). The approval is largely administrative in nature, involving conformance review which the shoreline implementation committee and TRPA staff believe is compatible with staff-level review and approval. Staff also believe that the amendment will relieve an application backlog and unnecessary burden on the Hearings Officer which could otherwise be directed to more complex projects with greater potential impacts on TRPA's threshold standards. The proposed amendments will allow mooring buoys associated with eligible private, single-family littoral parcels to be permitted by TRPA staff with expertise in buoy regulation. The amendment will not change the conformance requirements for mooring buoys on private, single-family parcels and will not alter the review and approval requirements for any other buoy or parcel type. Decisions on buoy permits for private, single-family littoral parcels may be appealed to the Governing Board.

The following questionnaire will be completed by the applicant based on evidence submitted with the application. All "Yes" and "No, With Mitigation" answers will require further written comments. Use the blank boxes to add any additional information and reference the question number and letter. If more space is required for additional information, please attached separate sheets and reference the question number and letter.

For information on the status of TRPA environmental thresholds click on the links to the Threshold Dashboard.

## I. Environmental Impacts

### 1. Land

Current and historic status of soil conservation standards can be found at the links below:

- [Impervious Cover](#)
- [Stream Environment Zone](#)

Will the proposal result in:

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Compaction or covering of the soil beyond the limits allowed in the land capability or Individual Parcel Evaluation System (IPES)?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. A change in the topography or ground surface relief features of site inconsistent with the natural surrounding conditions?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Unstable soil conditions during or after completion of the proposal?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Changes in the undisturbed soil or native geologic substructures or grading in excess of 5 feet?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. The continuation of or increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sand, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion, including natural littoral processes, which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, backshore erosion, avalanches, mud slides, ground failure, or similar hazards?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

#### Discussion

The proposed amendments will not impact impervious land cover or Stream Environment Zones.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 2. Air Quality

Current and historic status of air quality standards can be found at the links below:

- [Carbon Monoxide \(CO\)](#)
- [Nitrate Deposition](#)
- [Ozone \(O3\)](#)
- [Regional Visibility](#)
- [Respirable and Fine Particulate Matter](#)
- [Sub-Regional Visibility](#)

Will the proposal result in:

|   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Substantial air pollutant emissions?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Deterioration of ambient (existing) air quality?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. The creation of objectionable odors?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Increased use of diesel fuel?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendments will not impact air quality.



### 3. Water Quality

Current and historic status of water quality standards can be found at the links below:

- [Aquatic Invasive Species](#)
- [Deep Water \(Pelagic\) Lake Tahoe](#)
- [Groundwater](#)
- [Nearshore \(Littoral\) Lake Tahoe](#)
- [Other Lakes](#)
- [Surface Runoff](#)
- [Tributaries](#)
- [Load Reductions](#)

**Will the proposal result in:**

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff so that a 20 yr. 1 hr. storm runoff (approximately 1 inch per hour) cannot be contained on the site? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Alterations to the course or flow of 100-year flood waters?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground water?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| g. Change in the quantity of groundwater, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding and/or wave action from 100-year storm occurrence or seiches?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| j. The potential discharge of contaminants to the groundwater or any alteration of groundwater quality?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| k. Is the project located within 600 feet of a drinking water source?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion**

The proposed amendments concern the authorization of existing buoy fields and staff approval of single-family buoys. These amendments will not impact water quality.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 4. Vegetation

Current and historic status of vegetation preservation standards can be found at the links below:

- [Common Vegetation](#)
- [Late Seral/Old Growth Ecosystems](#)
- [Sensitive Plants](#)
- [Uncommon Plant Communities](#)

**Will the proposal result in:**

|   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Removal of native vegetation in excess of the area utilized for the actual development permitted by the land capability/IPES system?                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Removal of riparian vegetation or other vegetation associated with critical wildlife habitat, either through direct removal or indirect lowering of the groundwater table? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Introduction of new vegetation that will require excessive fertilizer or water, or will provide a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?                 | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, micro flora, and aquatic plants)?           | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare, or endangered species of plants?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Removal of stream bank and/or backshore vegetation, including woody vegetation such as willows?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| g. Removal of any native live, dead or dying trees 30 inches or greater in diameter at breast height (dbh) within TRPA's Conservation or Recreation land use classifications? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| h. A change in the natural functioning of an old growth ecosystem?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion**

The proposed amendments do not include any changes which would impact vegetative resources.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 5. Wildlife

Current and historic status of special interest species standards can be found at the links below:

- [Special Interest Species](#)

Current and historic status of the fisheries standards can be found at the links below:

- [Instream Flow](#)
- [Lake Habitat](#)
- [Stream Habitat](#)

Will the proposal result in:

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects, mammals, amphibians or microfauna)? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Reduction of the number of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Deterioration of existing fish or wildlife habitat quantity or quality?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendments will not impact wildlife species or habitat.

## 6. Noise

Current and historic status of the noise standards can be found at the links below:

- [Cumulative Noise Events](#)
- [Single Noise Events](#)

Will the proposal result in:

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Increases in existing Community Noise Equivalency Levels (CNEL) beyond those permitted in the applicable Area Plan, Plan Area Statement, Community Plan or Master Plan? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Single event noise levels greater than those set forth in the TRPA Noise Environmental Threshold?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. The placement of residential or tourist accommodation uses in areas where the existing CNEL exceeds 60 dBA or is otherwise incompatible?                                | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. The placement of uses that would generate an incompatible noise level in close proximity to existing residential or tourist accommodation uses?                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Exposure of existing structures to levels of ground vibration that could result in structural damage?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendments will not impact noise levels.

## 7. Light and Glare

**Will the proposal:**

|   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Include new or modified sources of exterior lighting?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Create new illumination which is more substantial than other lighting, if any, within the surrounding area?    | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Cause light from exterior sources to be cast off -site or onto public lands?                                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Create new sources of glare through the siting of the improvements or through the use of reflective materials? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion**

The proposed amendments will not contribute to lighting or glare.

## 8. Land Use

**Will the proposal:**

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Include uses which are not listed as permissible uses in the applicable Area Plan, Plan Area Statement, adopted Community Plan, or Master Plan? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Expand or intensify an existing non-conforming use?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion**

While the amendment to Chapter 84 will authorize an existing non-conforming use, it will not expand or intensify that use.





**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 9. Natural Resources

**Will the proposal result in:**

- |  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. A substantial increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Substantial depletion of any non-renewable natural resource?        | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not impact natural resources.

## 10. Risk of Upset

**Will the proposal:**

- |   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation in the event of an accident or upset conditions? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Involve possible interference with an emergency evacuation plan?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not impact emergency evacuation or involve a risk of explosion or releasing hazardous materials.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 11. Population

**Will the proposal:**

- |  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population planned for the Region? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Include or result in the temporary or permanent displacement of residents?                                | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not impact the human population of the region or displace residents.

## 12. Housing

**Will the proposal:**

- |  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?<br><i>To determine if the proposal will affect existing housing or create a demand for additional housing, please answer the following questions:</i> |                       |                                  |                       |                       |
| 1. Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Tahoe Region?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Tahoe Region historically or currently being rented at rates affordable by lower and very-low-income households?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not impact housing in the region.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

### 13. Transportation / Circulation

**Will the proposal result in:**

|   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Generation of 650 or more new average daily Vehicle Miles Travelled?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Changes to existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems, including highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?                                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion**

The proposed amendment will not impact transportation systems or vehicle miles traveled.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 14. Public Services

Will the proposal have an unplanned effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas?:

|   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Fire protection?                                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Police protection?                                 | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Schools?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Parks or other recreational facilities?            | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Other governmental services?                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not impact public facilities.

## 15. Energy

**Will the proposal result in:**

- |   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion:**

The proposed amendment will not result in increased energy use or demand.

## 16. Utilities

**Except for planned improvements, will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:**

- |   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Power or natural gas?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Communication systems?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Utilize additional water which amount will exceed the maximum permitted capacity of the service provider?                              | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Utilize additional sewage treatment capacity which amount will exceed the maximum permitted capacity of the sewage treatment provider? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Storm water drainage?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| f. Solid waste and disposal?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Discussion**

The proposed amendment will not result in the need for any new or altered utility systems.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 17. Human Health

Will the proposal result in:

- |  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?                                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not create any health hazard or expose people to potential hazard.

## 18. Scenic Resources / Community Design

Current and historic status of the scenic resources standards can be found at the links below:

- [Built Environment](#)
- [Other Areas](#)
- [Roadway and Shoreline Units](#)

Will the proposal:

- |   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Be visible from any state or federal highway, Pioneer Trail or from Lake Tahoe?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Be visible from any public recreation area or TRPA designated bicycle trail?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Block or modify an existing view of Lake Tahoe or other scenic vista seen from a public road or other public area?       | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Be inconsistent with the height and design standards required by the applicable ordinance, Community Plan, or Area Plan? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Be inconsistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Improvement Program (SQIP) or Design Review Guidelines?                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will authorize existing buoy fields and will not create new scenic impacts or impact to community design.

## 19. Recreation

Current and historic status of the recreation standards can be found at the links below:

- [Fair Share Distribution of Recreation Capacity](#)
- [Quality of Recreation Experience and Access to Recreational Opportunities](#)

**Will the proposal:**

- |   | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Create additional demand for recreation facilities?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Create additional recreation capacity?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Have the potential to create conflicts between recreation uses, either existing or proposed? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Result in a decrease or loss of public access to any lake, waterway, or public lands?        | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will authorize existing buoy fields and will not result in any new impacts to recreation facilities, access or capacity.



**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 20. Archaeological / Historical

Will the proposal result in:

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. An alteration of or adverse physical or aesthetic effect to a significant archaeological or historical site, structure, object or building?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Is the proposed project located on a property with any known cultural, historical, and/or archaeological resources, including resources on TRPA or other regulatory official maps or records? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Is the property associated with any historically significant events and/or sites or persons?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| e. Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will not impact historic or archeological resources.





**Mail**  
 PO Box 5310  
 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
 128 Market Street  
 Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
 Phone: 775-588-4547  
 Fax: 775-588-4527  
 www.trpa.gov

## 21. Findings of Significance

|  | Yes                   | No                               | No, with mitigation   | Data insufficient     |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California or Nevada history or prehistory? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time, while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environmental is significant?)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| d. Does the project have environmental impacts which will cause substantial adverse effects on human being, either directly or indirectly?   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Discussion

The proposed amendment will have no significant impact.



**Mail**  
PO Box 5310  
Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
128 Market Street  
Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
Phone: 775-588-4547  
Fax: 775-588-4527  
www.trpa.gov

**DECLARATION:**

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature: **Jacob Stock**

Digitally signed by Jacob Stock  
DN: cn=Jacob Stock, o=TRPA, ou, email=jstock@trpa.gov, c=US  
Date: 2022.03.30 14:28:58 -07'00'

Jacob Stock at Douglas County 3/30/2022

Person preparing application

County

Date

**Applicant Written Comments:** (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

[Empty box for Applicant Written Comments]



**Mail**  
PO Box 5310  
Stateline, NV 89449-5310

**Location**  
128 Market Street  
Stateline, NV 89449

**Contact**  
Phone: 775-588-4547  
Fax: 775-588-4527  
www.trpa.gov

**Determination:**

**On the basis of this evaluation:**

- a. The proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and a finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules of Procedure  YES  NO
- b. The proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, but due to the listed mitigation measures which have been added to the project, could have no significant effect on the environment and a mitigated finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules and Procedures.  YES  NO
- c. The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment and an environmental impact statement shall be prepared in accordance with this chapter and TRPA's Rules of Procedures.  YES  NO

**Jacob Stock**

Digitally signed by Jacob Stock  
DN: cn=Jacob Stock, o=TRPA, ou,  
email=jstock@trpa.gov, c=US  
Date: 2022.03.30 14:30:19 -07'00'

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Title of Evaluator